

24-Nov-2015

Pinnacle Foods, Inc. (PF)

Acquisition of Boulder Brands Inc by Pinnacle Food Inc Call

CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS

Maria A. Sceppaguercio
SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

Craig D. Steeneck
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

Bryan D. Spillane
Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Andrew Lazar
Barclays Capital, Inc.

Eric R. Katzman
Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

Robert Moskow
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (Broker)

Farha Aslam
Stephens, Inc.

John McMillin
Lord Abbett

Matthew C. Grainger
Morgan Stanley

Michael W. Gallo
C.L. King & Associates, Inc.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou
BMO Capital Markets (United States)

David S. Palmer
RBC Capital Markets LLC

Eric Larson
The Buckingham Research Group, Inc.

Christopher Growe
Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., Inc.

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION

Operator: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and thank you for standing by. Welcome to the Pinnacle Foods Inc. Conference Call to discuss the company's announcement earlier this morning of Boulder Brands Inc. acquisition. This conference is being recorded and there will be a question-and-answer session at the end of the call.

I would now like to introduce your host for today's conference, Pinnacle's Senior Vice President of Investor Relations, Ms. Maria Sceppaguercio. Ms. Sceppaguercio, please go ahead.

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

Thank you, Andrew, and good morning everyone. Thanks for dialing in on short notice, as you know, that's just the nature of breaking news. Here with me to discuss our agreement to acquire Boulder Brands are Pinnacle's CEO, Bob Gamgort; and our CFO, Craig Steeneck. If you haven't received the copy of the release announcing the transaction, you can get one on our website in the Investor Center.

Our release and conversation this morning will include a number of adjusted metrics which exclude acquisition, merger and other restructuring charges and other items affecting comparability. The company believes that the adjusted basis provides investors with additional insight into our business and operating performance trends. While the exclusion of these items is not in accordance with GAAP, we believe that it is the most meaningful comparison and the most appropriate basis for discussion of our performance.

Finally, I'd like to remind you that our discussion this morning may include forward-looking statements which are subject to the Safe Harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially and the company undertakes no obligation to update these statements based upon subsequent events. A detailed discussion of these risks and uncertainties is contained in the company's filings with the SEC.

With that, I hand it over to Bob.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Thanks, Maria, and thanks to everyone for joining us today. The acquisition of Boulder Brands is another exciting transaction for us. In addition to delivering attractive financial returns, which I'll discuss in a moment, the transaction shifts our business mix further into faster-growing, on-trend categories in retail channels.

Specifically, from a portfolio and channel mix perspective, Boulder Brands expands our portfolio composition of health and wellness category to approximately 50% of sales. It also diversifies our retailer base into natural and organic channels which have historically been under-represented in Pinnacle's business and it increases our portfolio penetration among millennial consumers. In addition, the acquisition also provides us access to an important talent pool in Boulder Colorado which, as you know, is a hot bed of on-trend food startups.

To capitalize on this opportunity and ensure continuity of the business, we have decided to retain Boulder's existing headquarters location in Downtown Boulder, Colorado, which we expect will prove to be an important

better-for-you business hub for us in the future. An important consideration was the quality of the talent we met at the Boulder Brands' team during our due diligence process. We were impressed with their passion in the health and wellness space and their ability to innovate.

Turning to the Boulder Brands portfolio, the business breaks down into two primary segments: growth brands, which represent 70% of the portfolio, includes Glutino and Udi's gluten-free offerings, Earth Balance all-natural dairy-free spreads, and EVOL natural frozen meals; and foundation brands which represent the remaining 30% and is comprised of Smart Balance offering.

The acquisition also offers significant synergies and cost savings over the next two years. While some of these savings will be utilized to offset the impact of a portfolio optimization effort designed to clean up low-velocity skews, the majority will significantly expand earnings. In fact, we believe we have good visibility to increasing Boulder's current consensus EBITDA of \$62 million by approximately 50% by 2017.

The anticipated 2017 pro forma adjusted EBITDA combined with the net present value of tax benefits totaling \$47 million, implies a purchase price multiple of 10 times 2017 pro forma EBITDA; an adjusted cash EPS accretion of approximately 8%.

Craig will now quickly take you through the financing and tender offer process.

Craig D. Steeneck

Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

Thanks, Bob, and good morning. We plan to fund the acquisition with cash and new debt and expect our net leverage ratio to approximate 5 times, and interest expense to increase by approximately \$40 million to \$45 million, including the 25-basis point interest rate step-up on our existing term loans due to leverage rising above the 4.25x threshold.

Given our expectation for continued strong cash generation, we expect to deliver quickly at about half a turn per year, ending 2016 with net leverage in the mid-4x.

Now turning to the tender offer process. We plan to file our tender offer documents with the SEC within the next 10 business days and the tender must remain open for at least 20 business days. Closing of the tender offer will be subject to the conditions provided for in the merger agreement, including more than 50% of Boulder's shares having to be tendered and U.S. antitrust approval having to be obtained.

All shares not tendered in the offer will automatically be converted into the right to receive a deal consideration. The deal is expected to close early in the first quarter of 2016. As a result, we will incorporate the Boulder business into our 2016 guidance, which we expect to discuss in February when we release our 2015 year-end earnings.

With that, I'll turn it back to Bob.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Thanks and – before we open up the questions, I want to say that we strongly believe that Boulder is an exciting acquisition for us. In addition to the benefits described earlier, we believe that we can leverage our capabilities in frozen and shelf-stable categories and our expertise in integration to drive improved performance across the business. We look forward to closing the transaction and sharing our plans for the business with you early next year.

And with that, let me open it up for your questions.

QUESTION AND ANSWER SECTION

Operator: [Operator Instructions] Our first question for the day comes from the line of Bryan Spillane from Bank of America. Your line is open.

Bryan D. Spillane
Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Q

Hey. Good morning, everyone.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Good morning, Bryan.

Bryan D. Spillane
Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Q

Hey, Bob. Just two questions. One, in terms of where the – you kind of feel the opportunity is in terms of synergy or margin enhancement at Boulder, is it more going to be in the manufacturing footprint or will it come from lower SG&A or just lower overhead cost? That's the first question. I have a follow-up.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay. Sure. I'll let Craig to give you some of the broad categories. It's really a combination of the two that allows us to have this significant EBITDA expansion, but, Craig, why don't you go through some of the specifics?

Craig D. Steeneck
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

A

Yeah. So, Bryan, there is – always in a transaction of this nature, there are certainly duplicate public company costs that are part of the SG&A bucket that are no longer be necessary. And then, we are going to have scale in terms of purchasing. So there will be commodity and improvements in that area as we always see. And then, we will see a significant amount of savings in the logistics area as we look at the combined network and look at the warehouse structure and be able to get more weight on trucks. So logistics tends to be a pretty significant source of synergies.

And then, last, but not least, to your point, we'll look at the manufacturing environment which in the Boulder network includes a significant amount of co-packers and look ultimately to optimize that.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

And, Bryan, one of the things that we talked about a number of times is an opportunity to plug a sub-scale frozen business into our infrastructure, which has great scale, delivers a lot of synergy. And if you take a look at this portfolio, nearly half of the business is frozen. So plugging that into our business, which is significant in scale,

really does allow us to have a lot of logistics, warehousing distribution synergies that are really a benefit to drive back into that business.

Bryan D. Spillane

Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Q

Thanks. That's helpful. And just my follow-up, just in terms of sort of revenue improvement, Pinnacle has had a very good track record of taking categories where there wasn't a lot of innovation and finding ways that sort of add value through product innovation. So is that same sort of approach hold here? Are there – is there room for sort of incremental sale just by driving some product or packaging innovation in this portfolio?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. I think there is and I also think that the team at Boulder has a good innovation pipeline for us to tap into and help accelerate as well. If you take a look at the business, about two-thirds of the business right now is growing at a double-digit rate. About a third of the business has been flat to negative, and the most challenged business in this has been the Smart Balance segment.

As we thought about this business, the acquisition pieces, we wended up very similarly to the way that we've done all of our acquisitions, which is, we weighed the cost synergies very heavily, because we believe that they're actionable and we've been very conservative on the growth projections, and as has been the case with, certainly with gardein and all the way back to Birds Eye, we were able to exceed those growth expectations through the approach that you talked about.

So we thought about this acquisition very much the same way. I think we've modeled the topline piece of it very conservatively. I would also say that this fits into our portfolio strategy really nicely, because, ironically, the Boulder's team defined their portfolio as foundation brands and growth brands. We see all of those growth brands fitting into our leadership, brand portfolio, and be consistent with that strategy. We see the foundation brand, which is Smart Balance, has a real opportunity for us to reinvigorate an iconic brand. It has been challenged. I think we've been remarkably conservative on our projections around that and we're hopeful that we can actually beat those expectations.

So, I think net, conservative in our projections on the topline with a lot of upside, much more certainty around the cost side, and it fits nicely into our portfolio approach, which we think will pay – continue to drive this business forward as we have with our current portfolio.

Bryan D. Spillane

Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Q

All right. Thank you and Happy Thanksgiving to everybody.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Thank you. Thanks.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Andrew Lazar from Barclays. Your line is open.

Andrew Lazar

Barclays Capital, Inc.

Q

Good morning, everybody.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Good morning, Andrew.

A

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

Morning, Andrew.

A

Andrew Lazar

Barclays Capital, Inc.

Bob, I'm curious what – because I don't follow Boulder as closely, so I apologize, but about what percent of the portfolio would you see as sort of being the core gluten-free piece? And the reason I ask is the one I guess piece that I struggled with a little bit and where I've gotten a lot of questions already is, maybe how big is the sort of the competitive moat, if you will, of, let's say, gluten-free specific brands like the Udi's and Glutino versus larger mainstream brands that have gluten-free options for consumers? How does your research sort of weight those two? And I'm curious of the competitive moat side? And then I've got a follow-up.

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Sure. Yeah. It's a great question. Obviously, you could imagine we had thorough due diligence on this throughout the process, but I would also tell you, this is a portfolio that we have looked at for some time. It's been on our radar screen. And, quite honestly, the valuation for a long time was too high for us to consider. And when we saw the valuation come in line, it fell nicely within our target zone here, and that's when we moved forward on this process. And we're really fortunate to be in the position that we are today. So specifically, we've done a lot of thinking about gluten-free and I'll tell you where we land on this.

A

First of all, about half of the portfolio is gluten-free, which makes these brands unique is that they are the leaders in gluten-free products. There are a lot of businesses that have gluten-free varieties of traditional brands, but these are two dedicated gluten-free brands, and that's very unique.

One of the – couple of things that we found along the way and that is, is gluten-free a sustainable trend or is it a fad? It's grown at a remarkable rate. As you know, it's about 100 – call it, 100% to 150% over the past couple of years, about \$11 billion in sales. It's about 6.5% of all food sold right now with labeled gluten-free. And we see that the number of people who are being diagnosed as either with celiac disease, gluten intolerant, or gluten sensitive – and a lot of people are diagnosing themselves as gluten sensitive continues to grow.

And so we were very conservative in modeling a much reduced rate of growth going forward than what the experts outside would predict. But make no mistake, this is not a fad. This is a sustainable trend that's driven by a real consumer need.

What we found really interesting when we dug into this is that, the consumers who are looking for gluten-free products trust dedicated gluten-free brand more than they do a variant of an existing brand, because they are afraid that there might be some cross-contamination. And, obviously, there have been some examples recently of cross-contamination which continues to fuel the belief that if you are gluten sensitive, you need to look for a gluten-free brand.

So I would tell you that when we first kind of put this business on our radar screen, and, again, it was for the process, we had a lot of questions, and, quite frankly, some skepticism about gluten-free and had spent a lot of time researching this and thinking it through. And, obviously, based on the transaction we're talking about today are firmly convinced that it is a sustained trend. And I think have been conservative in modeling the go-forward growth rate on that.

Andrew Lazar

Barclays Capital, Inc.

Q

Got it. Thanks for that. And then, where are we on sort of how retailers are handling gluten-free products at this stage? I know there is a sense or a period of time where retailers were kind of setting up stores within a store, basically gluten-free sections versus kind of sprinkled throughout. If you could just give us a little bit of a background on where that is and are – I guess, is shelf space and distribution gains still sort of on the acceleration side of the ledger or where are we at retail?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. It's a mixed bag. It's a little bit like our gardein business. In some cases the gardein business is shelved in this better-for-you meat substitute section which is off to the side. And another store section is merchandise next to sort of the more mainstream offering.

So you've got a situation, which is consistent with any emerging trend where retailers are experimenting with what the right shelf set is. So you've got a mix of dedicated gluten-free sections for people [ph] to go as for one (15:02) destination along with retailers that are mixing it in with more traditional.

Obviously, somebody who is looking for Gluten-free products would prefer a dedicated section whereas somebody who is kind of dabbling in it would prefer to be spread out. We don't see a material difference in how the business reacts in those scenarios nor do we think it changes the competitive dynamic as well.

So, look, that's an evolving picture and obviously as now leaders in this space, we will bring forward some categories thinking on that as we have in most of our other categories to help our retail partners decide which is the best way to merchandise this benefit.

Andrew Lazar

Barclays Capital, Inc.

Q

Got it. Thank you and have a good holiday.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay. Thanks. You too.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Eric Katzman from Deutsche Bank. Your line is open.

Eric R. Katzman

Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

Q

Hi. Good morning, everybody. Happy holidays.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Hi, Eric.

A

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

Hi, Eric.

A

Eric R. Katzman

Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

I've got a couple of questions, so bear with me. I guess, first for Craig, you mentioned in the release that the cash EPS accretion is 8%. And I assume by that you're signaling there's going to be a fair amount of non-cash amortization expense. Can you give any guide as to – or are you going to then go to cash EPS or are you – or this just neutral to, let's call it, GAAP EPS ex-items. How should I interpret this?

Q

Craig D. Steeneck

Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

Yeah. So the reason we went with the cash EPS, Eric, is because we're not going to convert to that going forward. We're going to stick with the normal EPS. At any acquisition, you got to go through and do appraisal and get the balance sheet and there's going to be some future amortization that's going to affect the results.

A

At this point in time we don't have a very clear view on what that is. You got to go through the appraisal process. But I should note that there's about \$12 million of amortization already within the Boulder business. So it's only the delta that exist between the two of those. We don't think that's going to be particularly material and the guidance we've given relative to cash EPS will not be materially different from – with the adjusted GAAP piece of it.

Eric R. Katzman

Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

Okay. Okay. That's helpful. And then, again, a detailed question, but I also haven't followed Boulder that closely, but how do you see the business if you've already thought about it, like falling within your existing segments? Or is it going to be because you're running it out of Boulder just a standalone new segment?

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Yeah, that – we're looking at various ways to provide the right level of transparency for you guys and give us the right flexibility to operate the business. When we discuss our 2016 guidance, obviously, we're going to build this in, because the transaction will have closed by then. And at that point, I think we're – between now and then, we're going to revisit different scenarios around our segment reporting. And we'll give you a clarity at the time that we talk about 2016 and say what's the best way to look at the total Pinnacle business going forward.

A

Eric R. Katzman

Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

Okay. And then, the last one, a big picture question, Bob, I guess. When you came public, right, I think you came out with relative topline targets and that served you well with part of the portfolio, kind of, let's say, the foundation side versus the growth side or moderate growth, and now you've added gardein which is growing very

Q

rapidly. I assume you've had – you decided to get into the natural and organic which is clearly you're expecting there to be revenue growth out of this. So, I mean, is it time for investors to think of it differently about your topline goals? I mean, is this enough with gardein to start to hold the company to, let's say, absolute topline growth as opposed to a relative measure?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. I think, first of all, if you think about our strategy, our strategy has been one where we've given you a lot of visibility into our gross margin expansion potential, on all of the components that drive our gross margin. Really great management of overhead keeping it among the leanest, if not the leanest in the industry. And then great ability to generate cash that we reinvest back in the business, which all of that, you add that all up and it gives us a – we think it's a very attractive EPS growth story. That's still what we are.

We're an EPS growth story with really good visibility to the components that drive that. And the reason for the relative topline metric in the past was: one, we operated in very challenged categories and that was a concern of investors at the time of the IPO; and the second part was, we didn't ever want to put ourselves in a position where we needed to chase growth at the expense of gross margin. And, quite honestly, in the end, you should hold us accountable to delivering great EPS growth and running a very strong business for the future. If we get locked in on every metric, which we're trying to serve too many masters, and we don't have the flexibility to run the business on the behalf of our shareholders in the most effective way.

Having said all of that, there is no question that we delivered great growth by driving exceptional share expansion in challenged categories. That, as strong has that been, that always give some concern to investors, and, they say, isn't there any opportunity for you to get some actual growth that isn't dependent upon share expansion.

What we learnt from gardein, which I kind of think of it as almost a pioneering acquisition for us, because it allowed us to try a different space and see what kind of value we could add, has given us the confidence to make this acquisition.

And I would tell you that in an environment where growth is challenged, it's terrific to tap in a category that have inherent growth in them and it doesn't mean that we need to deviate from our core business model of gross margin expansion, strong productivity, running with a lean overhead structure, it just allows us to get more breathing room for our business model to be able to deliver EPS growth.

So I don't think it makes a difference whether it works as an absolute top-line growth number or relative growth number. But I do think that acquisitions like gardein, Boulder Brands and the growth that we're getting on Birds Eye should give investors more comfort that it isn't just a story of gaining share in negative categories, which is how we've been characterized, but we are making a concerted effort to tap into faster growth streams either through acquisition or through strong innovation on our portfolio.

And as you know probably better than anyone in the food industry, a little bit of growth as long as you're able to keep your core business model in place, is highly accretive. And that's why we see this as very attractive.

Eric R. Katzman

Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.

Q

Okay. Thanks for that.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line Robert Moskow from Credit Suisse. Your line is open.

Robert Moskow
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (Broker)

Q

Hi. Thank you.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Hi, Rob.

Robert Moskow
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (Broker)

Q

Hi. I just wanted to try to get a sense of how we should expect the topline at this business to – what we should expect it to look like in a couple of year, because you mentioned some rationalization that you need to do.

And also just my perception on gluten-free is that there is a saturation level out there and we might be getting to it soon where you just kind of run out of consumers to convert over to gluten-free. And just want to know how you think of it Bob, you know. Do you think sales of this portfolio need to look smaller first? And then what are your expectations for gluten-free penetration going forward? Do you think there is a saturation level?

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. All really good questions. When we talk about 2016 guidance, obviously, as I said, before we're going to build this into it, we'll give you real clarity around our expectations on 2016.

One of the things that we're signaling to you today, as we think about the topline is, we are building in an expectation that we're going to have to do some SKU rationalization, not brand rationalization, but SKU rationalization to really set the portfolio up for accelerated growth once we get that done.

And, look, SKU rationalization is really typical in a business that's grown at this pace and has expanded distribution as rapidly as it has. You have retailers that have been very excited about this category and would be willing to give a ton of space. So to be in a position at this point in time with a new set of eyes to be able to go in there and say we probably should trim back in certain areas that set ourselves up for growth, I think, is a really healthy approach.

By the way, I would also tell you that our conversations with the Boulder team that we have high regard for, they also see the same thing and were very proactive in talking with us about the opportunity for more of a SKU rationalization approach, which is not independent from innovation, but kind of on a parallel path that you do that.

So when we talk about 2016, we'll be crystal clear on how we're thinking about that, but I do think it sets us up for good profit accretion, more modest topline accretion in 2016, but that queues it up for accelerated growth going forward.

With regard to how I think about some of these segments, I mean, first of all, if you take a look at the EVOL brand which has been growing at a 30% clip, if not more than that all along, it is right in the sweet spot of what we've been trying to do with our frozen business, particularly with Birds Eye which is offering convenient meals that are clean ingredients and just overall better-for-you positioning.

As we said all the way back since the IPO where people were concerned about frozen, we kept saying frozen is not the issue within the freezer, case is the issue. And we pointed the brands like EVOL as an example that younger consumers were willing to pay a premium price for really good, high-quality, good-tasting products with clean ingredient deck. So we see tremendous upside on that business.

Similarly, if we talk about the challenges on Smart Balance, the Earth Balance business is also growing at a really nice clip and we see a lot of upside potential. So that leaves us with about half of the portfolio that is gluten-free.

Theoretically, there'll be a saturation point at some stage, but what's interesting is, when you look at the equation of people who have been diagnosed with celiac disease, they have no choice, but to eat gluten-free. That is a medical requirement for them. That is increasing every single day.

And then, you got some people who are sort of dabbled in gluten-free and they come in and they drop out, but that's being offset by people who are gluten-intolerant or gluten-sensitive. And so we see the pipeline continuing to get filled with people who are getting diagnosed every day with this that is more than offsetting people who are sort of dropping out of the funnel.

So I agree with you there is a theoretical saturation point, I don't think we're anywhere near that. And again, that wasn't our hypothesis going into this, I'm sharing with you our learning over the past year or so.

And our modeling of what we expect going forward, would look remarkably conservative, both relative to the historical growth trends of gluten-free as well as what sort of the independent projections are of it. So I think if anything, it's actually more upside and I don't think we're anywhere near saturation point on that.

Robert Moskow

Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (Broker)

Q

I appreciate all the color. One follow-up. You mentioned you want to keep the Colorado headquarters, you want to be near where all the thought leaders are on, call it, fashion-forward food or natural organics. Is it your intention to keep making acquisitions in this kind of higher growth arena or do you want to – do you always want to keep a balance between what Pinnacle kind of started with, which is kind of a older, more established brands? Is the balance shifting?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. I think what we've said all along is that, we talked about homerun scenarios and RMT for our large frozen business that's been – serious need of reinvigoration. That ambition still remains. And by the way, this transaction does not takes us off that – take that opportunity to be off the table at all, if that's a concern of anybody.

But what we've also said is that, we've got a continuum of transactions that we consider both in terms of size as well as profile, meaning more reinvigoration requirement versus ones that are higher growth and that we are going to be in a position where we weren't going to wait for the big scenario and pass up on really strategic, accretive acquisitions along the way.

Since the IPO, we've had an ambition for a big RMP. As we sit here today, it hasn't happened, but this is our third transaction. And our performance in terms of EPS growth has exceeded our original algorithm at the time of the IPO. So I don't think this marks a tremendous shift, but what I do think is it continue to signal that we're open-minded and looking at transactions that are slightly more growth-oriented without paying a crazy premium for them.

But most importantly, in segment and business models that fit nicely with our core business model, because we're not going to change our stripes and on that we have a lot of confidence that we can add value to it. And as I said before, gardein was an interesting departure from a negative growth category and we are absolutely thrilled with the performance of that business and their future potential. I think this will be the same type of thought process. And we are open to many different transactions that continue to drive Pinnacle towards a much higher-value business in the future.

Robert Moskow

Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (Broker)

Q

Great. Thanks, Bob.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Farha Aslam from Stephens, Inc. Your line is open.

Farha Aslam

Stephens, Inc.

Q

Hi. Good morning. Could you talk about the production base and what opportunities you see in terms of improving the production base beyond next year?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. Hi, Farha. First of all, about 60% of the Boulder business is self-manufactured, 40% is co-manufactured. As you know, that's a much higher skew towards co-manufacturing than you would see on the Pinnacle business where we've really embraced controlling our supply chain completely, because it allows us not only to be able to get our arms around the quality and innovation, but also to drive superior productivity.

So that's something that we'll take a look at over time. The 60% that is self-manufactured, we've had a chance give to the plant. And like anything else we have thoughts in our head about how we can expand production within those plants, how we can drive efficiency, and how we can utilize those assets better. And that's part of the synergy calculation that we have.

And I think I would point to the gardein acquisition as an example of what we've done there where we've made an investment in the Vancouver plant to be able to expand capacity, and then we recently announced that we're out looking for a new production facility to expand production versus, for example, co-manufacturing that gardein business.

So still early to make all those decisions, but we have been all over the supply chain side of this and we will add the typical value that we do in previous transactions.

Farha Aslam

Stephens, Inc.

Q

Great. And just as a follow-up, what portion of the company's sales are in natural and what portion are in conventional?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

About 20% of the sales are in natural and organic channels. So, obviously, that leaves about 80% in sort of traditional channels. The great, great majority of the business is branded retail. There is a little bit of food service and a very, very small amount of private label. Some of the food service opportunities are really interesting like a co-branded product that's being sold at Pizza Hut right now for gluten-free pizza crust. So I think that's really interesting. But this is really a branded retail business with a nice mix between natural, organic and traditional channels.

And as you know, prior to the acquisition of gardein, Pinnacle had essentially no representation in natural and organic channels. That was part of our ambition. We got some coverage with that on gardein and this just expands our diversification into natural and organic channels. So it's – the nice side benefit of all of this is to be able to diversify our retailer base as well as diversifying our category and consumer base.

Farha Aslam

Stephens, Inc.

Q

Right. Thank you so much.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay. You're welcome.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of John McMillin from John McMillin. Your line is open.

John McMillin

Lord Abbett

Q

Thanks for taking my call. Can you just go through the – how the deal started? Now, that the stock of Boulders trading above your price of \$11, but stock was \$6, \$7 in July, just – when you started talking, is there a breakup fee if somebody else comes in?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah, so – first of all, good morning, John. This is something, as I've said, that's been on our radar screen for quite some time. We had, in our mind, sort of a valuation range in which we would consider engaging as we watched it over a period of time. It always seemed like that valuation range was just too high to engage. When we saw some of the management changes and we saw the change in valuation, we were proactive in expressing our interest as we were proactive in expressing our interest on a lot of acquisitions. And the Boulder Board decided to engage in a formal process as part of that.

And so we participated in that process and going through all the management meetings, due diligence, et cetera, we end up in a situation where we are today announcing this transaction. So it was long in the thinking in our part, but relatively recent, less than a year in terms of our actual formal engagement with them. And all the documentation that will come out will sort of – will sort of map out all the steps along the way.

The transaction does have a – as a breakup fee, as part of this – again, that all be in part of the documentation if they were to receive a unsolicited offer that was superior than that.

John McMillin

Lord Abbett

Q

And just – to the extent, it delays the RMT. You have to think today that this pushes it out – not that this is plan B, but it's just a direction that a lot of us didn't see you going. Can you just comment on that?

Robert James Gangort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. Well, I think – I mean, first of all, in terms of lot – of why you guys didn't see where this was going, I mean, what we've talked about is, we have an ambition for something, but we don't control that. We've been very proactive in putting offers out there. And as I said before, the issue is not our creativity or our interest in our proactive approach to things, the issue has been getting the other parties to engage.

And so what we said is, we're not going to sit around and wait for that, when we see something that comes into our target zone at the right price and at the right strategy, especially the one that we've been thinking about for a while, we're not going to wait. And had we taken a wait approach for the RMT, we would have passed on Wish-Bone, gardein, and now Boulder. And I think that our shareholders would have been disadvantaged by us taking that approach.

So I think we've a pretty clear – and we can't tell you exactly what transactions we're looking at, but I think we've been clear in saying we're looking at not only large, but also mid-sized and small, we're looking at categories that are adjacent, that are North American-focused, which this brand is. And once they get us into slightly higher growth segments, that yet allows us to supply our business model are even more attractive and I think this meets all of that criteria.

I would also say, this doesn't delay an RMT nor push anything out. Because the reality of it is, we need the other parties to engage. The size of the business doesn't change the math or the possibility of it. Our interest and engagement in doing so isn't changed by any of that. I think we've been really frank in saying that we put creative, very attractive win-win scenarios out there and for a variety of reasons, have not been able to get the other parties to engage. If they wanted to engage this afternoon, we would love to start that process but I don't accept the fact that this changes anything in terms of RMT versus what everyone was thinking yesterday.

John McMillin

Lord Abbett

Q

Great. Thanks a lot. Happy Thanksgiving.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Okay. Same to you, John.

A

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Matthew Grainger from Morgan Stanley. Your line is open.

Matthew C. Grainger

Morgan Stanley

Hi, good morning, happy holidays.

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Good morning.

A

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

Thanks Matt.

A

Matthew C. Grainger

Morgan Stanley

Thanks. So Bob, you mentioned you're keeping or Craig I guess, you mentioned you're keeping the corporate headquarters but as you think about the combined opportunity in natural and organic with this sort of larger brand portfolio, do you need to make any investments in more specialized sales capabilities, or SG&A to sort of access that opportunity?

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

I think the – if you take a look at the gardein sales organization, which is really high quality and the Boulder sales organization, they bring a lot to bear in terms of building that capability that we didn't have in the natural and organic channel. I would say similarly, we have a great opportunity to be able to send these brands into traditional channels where sort of the legacy Pinnacle business had strengthened. As you know we're category captain or category leader in many of large retailers. So I think the combination of the two allows us to get sort of an instant capability in natural and organic and the reverse is true for the two acquired businesses to be able to get a foot in the door, some of the larger retailers very, very quickly.

A

I think what's also interesting is that Boulder uses Acosta and Presence Marketing in addition to the direct portion of their sales organization. Those are the broker sales organization. Obviously, Acosta in the traditional channels and Presence in the natural and organic channels and Pinnacle and gardein use both Acosta and Presence. So I think it just gives us more scale on those segments, and to me that's one of the more exciting opportunities within here is now to have a more holistic sales organization with capabilities across multiple channels.

Matthew C. Grainger

Morgan Stanley

Q

Okay. Thanks Bob. And then I guess if I could just ask about the brands, you talked more about EVOL but on the balance portfolio, you mentioned that there are opportunities to reinvigorate it but it's also a foundation brand. So, just the opportunity you see to innovate on that. And then on Udi's, I think of it as a sensitivity, more of a food sensitivity brand but less so wellness. Do you see it sort of an opportunity to take it more in the wellness direction as well?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. I think – so, a couple of things. I think when you take a look at the Balance segment, we see that as a very much a foundational brand and as I said before, we use the same terminology as the Boulder team used. If you think about our portfolio management strategy, we're driving all of our growth off of the leadership side of the portfolio. And we're managing the foundation side of the portfolio for stability.

So, when I say we have an opportunity to reinvigorate this segment, it's not as if we put aggressive growth goals in there. In fact we haven't substantially changed the trajectory in our internal forward-looking projection. I think it's conservative. And we really hope to be able to manage that more towards stability. And again, the Boulder team in place has ideas around it. It's not as if they haven't thought about it. It's just more about – a lot of support has been pulled from that brand and it was extended into a number of segment to get away from its true core benefit. And there's a real opportunity to return it to its core benefit, core formats and restore support behind it. So, we endorse their thinking on it and can kind of independently verify that thinking.

With regard to your comment about Udi's being sensitivity brand versus health and wellness. It's a good question, how do you define health and wellness? I think I define it in the broadest sense as having attributes that consumers lean on because they believe it's good for them whether in the more general good for you sense or for a specific health need. So, I think it is very much a primary motivator for that. And yet, I think in particular, there is an opportunity to continue to evolve that category with having benefits that are not just its gluten-free but also have more proactive or positive health benefits into it in terms of clean ingredients, ancient grains, et cetera, that gives the same benefits but deliver with some added, more clean ingredient, better-for-you type attribute. So, again, that's part of the innovation pipeline that the team there has already been working on, and I think it's something that we can accelerate.

Matthew C. Grainger

Morgan Stanley

Q

Okay. Thanks again.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

All right. Thank you.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Michael Gallo from C.L. King. Your line is open.

Michael W. Gallo

C.L. King & Associates, Inc.

Q

Hi. Good morning.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Good morning.

Michael W. Gallo

C.L. King & Associates, Inc.

Q

I know we spent a lot of time on the natural brand. I just want to ask a couple questions on the other side of the business which is the Spreads business, which has obviously had its challenges. So, I was wondering if you had some thoughts on kind of what the plans there? Obviously, Smart Balance also takes you into some parts of the store that you haven't been in historically? [indiscernible] (40:28) refrigerated, does that become a platform that you look to expand further or does this become just a one-off where you look to turn around this business? Thank you.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. So, I mean, there's two refrigerated Spreads business that are in there, one is Smart Balance and one is Earth Balance. The Earth Balance business has been growing really nicely. It's based on plant-based nutrition, very consistent with the theme you heard us talk about on Birds Eye and gardein. And so, we think there's a lot of growth upside there.

With regards to Smart Balance, we all know the history of that brand. It was one of the first no-trans fat spreads and it was a brand that was really targeted to heart health. Still has a very loyal following, typically is a older consumer, which is in stark contrast to the rest of the Boulder portfolio. Our forward-looking projections and our acquisition thesis does not assume that we are heroic in this segment at all. In fact, I think we've been, as I said a couple of times, relatively conservative on projecting the continuation of the current trajectory that you've seen. I do think that there's the opportunity of getting the fundamentals right. The fundamentals right on this is, there are certain skews in this portfolio that have great velocity and a very strong reason for being.

There are others that have been – the brands that extended into that really don't have great velocities and aren't additive to the brand. This is part of that portfolio optimization opportunity that we talked about here. And I think that means pairing this business down to really the strong skews, reemphasizing the benefit to consumers in a strong way and actually restoring some support on this business, which have been pulled very dramatically in the past number of years.

But one point I would make on this [indiscernible] (42:07) growth challenge, it's a very profitable segment. So any opportunity to stabilize this business will have a very high ROI. And therefore, that's why we have confidence that managing it like a foundation brand. And seeing some of the ideas that the Boulder team has, that we actually can beat our original acquisition pieces on this one. But I think it's prudent, given the trends, and its higher margin contribution to be conservative. And hopefully, we go out and beat that.

Michael W. Gallo

C.L. King & Associates, Inc.

Q

Thank you.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Kenneth Zaslow from BMO Capital Markets. Your line is open.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslow

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Q

Hey. Good morning, everyone.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Good morning, Ken.

A

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Just a couple of questions. One is, what was the accretion in year one? I might have missed it, given I had to jump on a little late.

Q

Craig D. Steeneck

Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

Yeah. We said the cash EPS accretion is roundabout 8%. And I will get to that in 2017.

A

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Oh, I thought that was 2017 was the accretion, not 2016.

Q

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

That's right, that was 2017.

A

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

And what's the accretion for 2016?

Q

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

At this point in time, we haven't provided guidance for 2016. We will do all of that when we provide guidance for the whole company.

A

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

But we'll just say it'll be accretive [indiscernible] (43:26).

A

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

It will be accretive.

A

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Okay. Then the other thing is on a cultural standpoint, I know this sounds like an odd bit question, but your G&A and your management of SG&A is among the best in the group and I'm not going to come in and criticize Boulder. I don't cover them. I don't know enough about them. But their SG&A seems to be very different than the way you

Q

manage it. So, I'm trying to figure from a cultural standpoint as well as an ability for you to kind of come together and figure out what the right SG&A level is, particularly given that you're saying you're going to keep the facilities in Colorado?

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. So, we've spent a lot of time thinking about that one obviously. You've been out to our facility before and you know that even though we operate with – among the most efficient overheads in the industry, we've got a great working environment, we've got a great team. We've just leaned on having people that are higher experienced and we have more revenue than employee and more profit than employee than most people. But certainly, it's a great working environment with a good investment in innovation and growth as you've seen in our results.

I think it's exactly the same at Boulder. What's remarkable is sort of the culture that they have in place and the atmosphere of the office is remarkably similar to ours. They've got some great talent on there. The biggest disconnect was they were building the SG&A in advance of the growth and had very, very ambitious growth goals. And when the growth goals didn't materialize, it's hard to make a correction fast enough until you end up with a disproportionately high SG&A number.

We see an opportunity as Craig said before to eliminate some of the SG&A in a very quick painless way that's just duplicative in our public company costs and a bunch of other areas that are just smart things to do upon an acquisition but they also recognize that their costs were out of line and have put in place some restructuring efforts to reduce their SG&A cost, to calibrate for a business that's growing but not at the rate that they once did and we'll continue to build off of those.

So, I think that we have a really nice situation here where we're going to be able to get the overhead cost more in line with the growth targets of this business but not changing the culture or the DNA or the soul of this business at all because I think deep down inside, the way that they operate is very similar to the way that we operate. The difference is, we have invested behind growth on SG&A. They made a choice – a strategic choice, a while back to invest way ahead of growth and then we know what the rest of the story looks like.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Q

Is there any obstacles at all in terms of their compensation structure, contract, things like that that would prevent you from kind of putting the Pinnacle touch on their SG&A because I do believe their compensation structure is a little bit different than how you guys think about it as well.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

No. we don't see any barriers and in fact I think – and we've gone through that in great detail and I think the Pinnacle compensation structure that we would employ over there is actually, would be very attractive to them and consistent with the way we manage our business, which is skewed towards value creation. I think that will be a net positive.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou

BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Q

Okay. And then just making sure I understood. The EPS accretion in 2016 is GAAP or cash or similarly, it doesn't matter?

Craig D. Steeneck A
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
It's cash EPS, that's the 8% by 2017.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou Q
BMO Capital Markets (United States)
But for 2016?

Craig D. Steeneck A
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
2016 is also cash accretion.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou Q
BMO Capital Markets (United States)
But not...

Craig D. Steeneck A
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
Not GAAP but we don't...

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou Q
BMO Capital Markets (United States)
But not GAAP accretive?

Craig D. Steeneck A
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
Yeah but we don't believe the delta between the cash accretion and the GAAP appreciation will be material. We're still working through it because you've got to go through and get an appraisal and figure out your future amortization, but there's amortization built in their base. We don't believe the delta between the two of them will be significant. So it's not materially off the cash accretion – cash EPS.

Maria A. Sceppaguercio A
SVP-Investor Relations & Communications
So just to be clear, we expect adjusted EPS accretion in 2016. We just haven't specified the precise amount yet because of a crunch effect.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslou Q
BMO Capital Markets (United States)
Okay. Great. I appreciate it.

Robert James Gamgort A
Chief Executive Officer & Director
Okay.

Kenneth Bryan Zaslow
BMO Capital Markets (United States)

Q

Thank you.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Thanks, Ken.

Operator: Our next question comes from the line of David Palmer from RBC Capital Markets. Your line is open.

David S. Palmer
RBC Capital Markets LLC

Q

Good morning.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Good morning, David.

David S. Palmer
RBC Capital Markets LLC

Q

Just a philosophical – good morning. Just a philosophical question as you're looking at this portfolio in your hands, and clearly as it was before, Smart Balance, even though it's co-packed I believe is the highest margin business within the portfolio. So the thinking would go that this would be job one, the stabilization of Smart Balance would be, by far, the most important thing in the near-term you're thinking and the biggest variable. Is that the way you're going about it or are you thinking, look, we're going to get after these costs including the insourcing and the overhead and then we're going to let the growth of Udi's, Earth Balance and EVOL, which we believe can be met in many multiples larger with our help in distribution, but that can just eclipse and make less important what's going on with Smart Balance.

Robert James Gamgort
Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah, I think it's very consistent with the way we manage the Pinnacle portfolios. We used a portfolio management approach which allows us to have many priorities. We just have to put them in sort of the right sequence to your question. I think on this one, we have set ourselves up in our acquisition plan, as I said, that we've modeled the Smart Balance business conservatively in terms of the trends. We did that because, as you point out, it's a profitable segment and it actually put more of a penalty on the valuation going forward which we think is the right conservative way to approach it. And if we can change the trajectory at all, given that it's got a strong profit contribution to it, it will be a nice add or a bonus to the acquisition plan that we put in place.

I'm not sure I'd categorized one as job one or job two. I think what we do is we know what the cost synergies are, a lot of them are in full alignment with the Boulder team because they shared with us what their go-forward plans were as a standalone company. We've been able to validate those cost savings and then be able to add incremental savings to specifically to the combination of the two businesses.

We always go after the hard cost synergies and also just productivity in general across our portfolio because it is the one area that is most in our control. And then we manage the growth and innovation side of the brands based

on our portfolio management strategy and we'll be driving the leadership side which is EVOL, Udi's, et cetera for accelerated growth. And our ambition on Smart Balance will be to try to return that as closely as we can towards stability. And any move in that direction would be a nice plus on the P&L because the way we've modeled this, you actually get a negative mix effort going forward because of the decline in Smart Balance.

Again, we think that's a good conservative way to do it. Obviously, we've been able to more than offset that through a number of other levers in the business model to deliver the kind of EBITDA growth we're talking about. But if we can address that in any way, it will be a nice addition to our EBITDA projection.

David S. Palmer

RBC Capital Markets LLC

Q

All right. Is there any brands – you mentioned EVOL before which is on trend. And certainly, we would agree with that, but with regard to some of the other brands like Udi's, do you think that there's anything, perhaps, your dreams are perhaps even larger than where their dreams were with these brands or your capabilities can help that brand get to the next level. Maybe an example could be that Udi's might have a taste differential that could widen versus the competitors. If it's already a power brand, maybe it can be engineered to be that much better tasting than the Rudy's of the world. Are there any things that we might not appreciate in terms of your capabilities that you could add to their brands? Thanks.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. I think one of the things that we learned in our previous acquisitions is that when we put the two teams together from a marketing and from an R&D perspective and also from a plant standpoint, lots of good things happens as a result of that.

So as we sit here today, there's nothing that I would specifically call out on this call that say these are the specific benefits that we can add to Udi's to continue to drive that. But like we experienced with gardein, we've been able to accelerate that growth by unlocking capacity in their plants, by being able to quickly secure a new facility and ramp that up for production. And I think as you'll see in the coming year or so when you see the gardein innovation pipeline, you'll see the influence of some of the Pinnacle capabilities on the gardein innovation pipeline as well as the reverse happening. And I anticipate the same thing will happen here.

David S. Palmer

RBC Capital Markets LLC

Q

Thank you.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay. Thanks, David.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Eric Larson from Buckingham Research. Your line is open.

Eric Larson

The Buckingham Research Group, Inc.

Q

Yeah. Good morning, everyone. Thanks for taking my question.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Good morning.

A

Eric Larson

The Buckingham Research Group, Inc.

Number one – just a couple of follow-ons, obviously, a lot of the questions have been asked. But as you can imagine, the challenge in valuing Boulder per your announcement obviously is more than half of the business, or about half is in a very high margin declining business, which is Balance. The other half is more rapidly growing but lower margin business. So you had a negative mix issue going on there. And at \$11 a share, and I'm looking at the valuation and just kind of where I was with how I value the business, you still kind of need a mid-single digit, maybe a little bit better, of growth rate in gluten free, and you need to actually really truly stabilize the Balance business. So when you look at the Balance side of the business, is it a spending issue or is it a positioning issue that challenges the category, Bob?

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Yeah. Well, first of all, we're not modeling stability in that business over the next two years. As I said, we've been really conservative on that, yet we're giving you visibility to a 50% increase in EBITDA, which is a combination of synergies, previously identified cost savings that are yet to come but we validated. And even some investment are partially offset by the impact of some portfolio optimization.

A

So, I agree that mix becomes a negative in the short term because of the Smart Balance piece, but we modeled that in there and we're more than able to offset and deliver a 50% increase in EBITDA. So, when I take a look at what the value of the business is, this is a more challenging one to value. Because if you do it as a current EBITDA, you get a very big number. When you do it as a multiple of the EBITDA that we've got right in front of us, it becomes a very manageable number as a result of that. And I think that, again, it's all upside if we're able to stabilize the Smart Balance business, but we have been far from heroic in our projections on that one.

As far as what some of the issues are there's a bunch of contributing factors about – there's a general move away from oil-based spreads to butter, we know that. It's been well discussed but there are a large number of people whose doctors still found – especially with heart problems, you're not eating butter, you should be eating this.

And there's been a dramatic reduction in support behind this brand that is a huge contributing factor. So, again, I think the playbook on this one is relatively fundamental based. It's getting it to the right SKUs. It's about getting the distribution and the pricing right. And it's about restoring some support to it. And given its high profitability, any response to that support is going to have a very high ROI. So, that's how we'll play it. But, again, it's not built into our 2017 number that we talked about. A turnaround per se in Smart Balance isn't even baked into that at all.

Eric Larson

The Buckingham Research Group, Inc.

Okay. Yeah. I can clearly see how you can get the synergies. I mean, that part is – and the spending piece of it, that's why I asked, has come down in that category dramatically. The only follow up question I have, as you look at gluten free, obviously, that category has softened a bit, still growing but it has softened a bit as well. But it seems to me that in order to really move that category upward, you have to have – what you guys are really good at is true innovation. I mean, the products actually have to taste better in order to get non-medically induced consumers to maybe actually try the products.

Q

Right now, about one rung above straw that you might feed to your cattle. I mean, that's kind of how the stuff tastes. So is there technology inside of what you might be looking at. What you're acquiring that might be able to put a next generation of meaningful innovation in the gluten-free business.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. Look I think this is – again, I'll use gardein as a parallel. When you first took a look at, in the broader sense, the meat substitute category, the taste and texture was a major trade off. And that really slowed the penetration of that category. The big unlock there was the taste and texture. We're seeing the same thing in this category. I mean, having consumed all the products you're seeing a lot of them are far from the description that you have that you just gave there and actually have been greatly improved.

So, there's been product improvements for example already on areas like pizza, frozen pizza which is one of the best-selling items within that segment. And I think that this is one of those products that with a combined experiences of two R&D organizations and the ability for improved technology, you're going to continue to see the taste acceptance go up which, as you point out, is going to be more attractive to somebody who is sort of gluten-sensitive whereas people who have celiac disease and are gluten intolerant, they're kind of forced into it. But I think that we can create to nice flavor or taste solve for everybody along the way which will increase its acceptance.

By the way, one thing I would point out is obviously the number of people who are being diagnosed with celiac disease continue to rise which does provide a foundation or a base for the growth in this category, at least the supply to this category. That number is not shrinking. It's actually increasing. And as you pointed out, those people don't have a choice. And then the number of people that are gluten-intolerant or gluten-sensitive whether diagnosed by a doctor or sort of self-diagnosed also continues to increase.

So, I think that's why we spend a lot of time looking at this as well. We think there really is a solid foundation under this category. Otherwise we wouldn't have moved forward on this deal.

Eric Larson

The Buckingham Research Group, Inc.

Q

All right. Happy holidays, all.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Thank you very much. Same to you.

Operator: Thank you. Our next question comes from the line of Chris Growe from Stifel. Your line is open.

Christopher Growe

Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., Inc.

Q

Hi. Good morning.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Hi, Chris.

Christopher Growe

Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., Inc.

Hi. I believe I have two very quick questions.

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Okay.

A

Christopher Growe

Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., Inc.

I just wanted to be clear on the treatment of say, Smart Balance as a foundation brand. Is there an initial amount of investment that I hear you say that needs to be made behind that brand that could then get it to a point where you could treat it like one of your foundation brands which is really managed more for profitability and cash flow?

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Yeah. I think, again, as we contemplated the short term visibility which is the 2017 EBITDA number that we put out there, we've taken a really conservative approach and a model, a trajectory that doesn't look substantially different than the one that you see today.

A

If we were to feel that we had a marketing program or an innovation program that would change that trajectory, I would tell you that it would be a very easy payback on that investment given the high incremental profitability of this business. But as we look through over in the near term visibility, I think we've taken a very conservative approach.

Christopher Growe

Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., Inc.

Okay. And just the second question was, are you seeing gluten-free shelf space growing in the stores today? And has Boulder Brands been gaining shelf space in the gluten-free section?

Q

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Yeah. I mean, Boulder Brands has had a dramatic expansion in [ph] TDP (01:00:25) growth. If you take a look at IRI and Nielsen, or the SPINS data which captures the natural and organic channel, you're going to see a very substantial increase in distribution. One might argue too many SKUs in some certain categories that now needs to be pruned. But that was cheered on by retailers who really want to get behind this business. And although there's some moderation in the growth trend, we're still talking about very, very high growth relative to the rest of the store.

A

So whenever you see a category that's growing way above the norm and retailers like manufacturers are chasing growth. They tend to be very much open to shelf space. And I think that one of the interesting challenges for this business, and I put quote around challenges, is very similar to what we've seen in the freezer cases, sometimes you have to be more disciplined and say thank you for offering me all that space, but we're not going to take it all. We've certainly been in that position with Birds Eye Voila where we've had people that wanted to give us substantially more space. And we didn't have the innovation ready to go to be able to fill it up in a meaningful way.

So, I don't see shelf space as an issue at all for this. I think the most important thing is making sure we have the velocities right.

Christopher Growe

Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., Inc.

Q

Okay. That's great. Thanks for all your time.

Robert James Gamgort

Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Okay. Thanks, Chris.

Operator: Thank you. That's all the time that we have for questions today. So, I would like to turn the call back over to management for closing remarks.

Maria A. Sceppaguercio

SVP-Investor Relations & Communications

Thank you. Thank you, all, for dialing in on such short notice. We appreciate your support. And I'm around all day, so, give me a call if you have any follow-up questions. Take care and have nice holiday. Bye-bye.

Operator: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you, again, for your participation in today's conference. This now concludes the program and you may all disconnect the telephone lines at this time. Everyone, have a great weekend.

Disclaimer

The information herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable but is not guaranteed by us and does not purport to be a complete or error-free statement or summary of the available data. As such, we do not warrant, endorse or guarantee the completeness, accuracy, integrity, or timeliness of the information. You must evaluate, and bear all risks associated with, the use of any information provided hereunder, including any reliance on the accuracy, completeness, safety or usefulness of such information. This information is not intended to be used as the primary basis of investment decisions. It should not be construed as advice designed to meet the particular investment needs of any investor. This report is published solely for information purposes, and is not to be construed as financial or other advice or as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any state where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. Any information expressed herein on this date is subject to change without notice. Any opinions or assertions contained in this information do not represent the opinions or beliefs of FactSet CallStreet, LLC. FactSet CallStreet, LLC, or one or more of its employees, including the writer of this report, may have a position in any of the securities discussed herein.

THE INFORMATION PROVIDED TO YOU HEREUNDER IS PROVIDED "AS IS," AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, FactSet CallStreet, LLC AND ITS LICENSORS, BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND SUPPLIERS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE SAME, EXPRESS, IMPLIED AND STATUTORY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, NEITHER FACTSET CALLSTREET, LLC NOR ITS OFFICERS, MEMBERS, DIRECTORS, PARTNERS, AFFILIATES, BUSINESS ASSOCIATES, LICENSORS OR SUPPLIERS WILL BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION DAMAGES FOR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES, GOODWILL, WORK STOPPAGE, SECURITY BREACHES, VIRUSES, COMPUTER FAILURE OR MALFUNCTION, USE, DATA OR OTHER INTANGIBLE LOSSES OR COMMERCIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF ANY OF SUCH PARTIES IS ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSSES, ARISING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN OR ANY OTHER SUBJECT MATTER HEREOF.

The contents and appearance of this report are Copyrighted FactSet CallStreet, LLC 2015 CallStreet and FactSet CallStreet, LLC are trademarks and service marks of FactSet CallStreet, LLC. All other trademarks mentioned are trademarks of their respective companies. All rights reserved.