05-Aug-2015

Genworth Financial, Inc. (GNW)

Q2 2015 Earnings Call

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS

Amy Corbin
Senior Vice President, Investor Relations

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.

Jerome T. Upton
CFO & Operations Officer-Global Mortgage Insurance

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

Nigel P. Dally
Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JPMorgan Securities LLC

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC

Ryan J. Krueger
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC

Suneet L. Kamath
UBS Securities LLC

Sean Dargan
Macquarie Capital (USA), Inc.

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION

Operator: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to the Genworth Financial's Second Quarter 2015 Earnings Conference Call. My name is Shelly, and I will be your coordinator today. At this time, all participants are in a listen-only mode. We will facilitate a question-and-answer session towards the end of this conference call. As a reminder, the conference is being recorded for replay purposes.

Also, we ask that you refrain from using cell phones, speaker phones or headsets during the Q&A portion of today's call. I would now like to turn the presentation over to Amy Corbin, Senior Vice President or Investor Relations. Ms. Corbin, you may proceed.

Amy Corbin
Senior Vice President, Investor Relations

Good morning, everyone, and thank you for joining Genworth 's second quarter 2015 earnings call. Our press release and financial supplement were released last evening; and this morning, our earnings presentation was posted to our website and will be referenced during our call. We encourage you to review all of these materials.

Today you will hear from our President and Chief Executive Officer, Tom McInerney, followed by Marty Klein, our Chief Financial Officer. Following our prepared comments, we will open the call up for a question-and-answer period. In addition to our speakers, Rohit Gupta, President of our U.S. Mortgage Insurance segment; and Jerome Upton, CFO of our Global Mortgage Insurance Division, will be available to take your questions. During the call

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

this morning, we may make various forward- looking statements. Our actual results may differ materially from such statements. We advise you to read the cautionary notes regarding forward-looking statements in our earnings release and related presentations, as well as the risk factors of our most recent annual report on Form 10-K and our quarterly Form 10-Qs as filed with the SEC.

This morning's discussion also includes non-GAAP financial measures that we believe may be meaningful to investors. In our financial supplement, earnings release and investor materials, non-GAAP measures have been reconciled to GAAP, where required, in accordance with SEC rules. Also, when we talk about the results of our international businesses, please note that all percentage changes exclude the impact of foreign exchange. And finally, references to statutory results are estimates, due to the timing of the filing of the statutory statements.

And now I'll turn the call over to our CEO, Tom McInerney.

Thomas Joseph McInerney

President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

Thank you, Amy, and good morning, everyone. Today, I will provide a brief overview of the results for the second quarter, share progress against our three strategic objectives, and discuss the outcome of our strategic review. Our second quarter operating income of $119 million reflects solid performance from each of our three primary mortgage insurance businesses. Compared to the first quarter, loss ratios in the U.S. were flat and improved in Canada.

Australia's loss ratio of 28% was higher than in the first quarter, but the first quarter's loss ratio benefited from the favorable impact of borrower recoveries. We still expect Australia's loss ratio to be within our annual expectations of 25% to 30% for the year. Long-term care earnings were negatively impacted by higher severity on new claims and lower terminations on existing claims; and life and annuity earnings were down sequentially, reflecting less favorable mortality than in the first quarter. Flow new insurance written was strong across our three primary mortgage insurance platforms. And during the quarter, both Canada and Australia were also active in the bulk market.

As we expected, sales within the U.S. Life Insurance division continue to be challenged, because of the low interest rate environment, our lower ratings, as well as market uncertainty caused by our strategic review. We are evaluating and implementing several new product and distribution strategies, but we expect sales to be modest near-term in this division. Additionally, we continue to focus on reducing operating expenses in U.S. Life to bring them in line with our lower sales levels. Overall, we are encouraged with our second quarter results in our mortgage platforms and we remain focused on initiatives aimed at strengthening and improving U.S. Life division results.

We continue to focus on achieving our three strategic objectives, which are to 1: strengthen the mortgage insurance and long-term care businesses; 2, simplify our businesses, and 3, increase financial flexibility and strength. Progress against these objectives can be seen in our recently announced transactions that in the aggregate we expect will generate in excess of $600 million in net proceeds to the holding company, and in our implementation of two other actions that we expect will provide $500 million for PMIERs' capital benefit.

The roughly $600 million of proceeds come from two transactions, the 14% sell down of our ownership stake in Genworth 's Mortgage Insurance Australia, which generated net proceeds in excess of $220 million, and the planned sale of our l ifestyle protection insurance business to AXA, which is expected to generate approximately $400 million of additional net proceeds. In addition to these asset sales, required capital in our U.S. mortgage insurance business, as measured under PMIERs, will significantly benefit from reinsurance and a reduction in

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

affiliate asset holdings. In July, we executed two excess of loss reinsurance transactions that cover the 2009 to 2013 and the 2014 books of business at an attractive cost of capital in the mid-single digits. Terms, conditions and counter parties for the two treaties are similar; and we have received GSE approval on the first treaty and await their approval on the second.

Also in July, using primarily the proceeds from the recently completed sell down of Genworth 's Mortgage Insurance Australia, the holding company purchased, for $200 million, GLIC preferred stock from U.S. Mortgage Insurance. Combined, these three transactions generate an aggregate capital benefit of over $500 million. Taking credit for these transactions and a planned internal restructuring scheduled for later this year would put us in compliance with PMIERs as of the end of the second quarter. While we are pleased with our progress, we do expect to further supplement capital with additional reinsurance on our 2015 book of business and/or cash from the holding company to establish a prudent buffer for required PMIERs capital. Turning to long-term care, achieving in force premium rate increases and benefit reductions continues to be our top priority for this business.

As we said last quarter, we are providing three new LTC metrics going forward, which can be used to measure progress against expectations for additional annual incremental premiums or equivalent benefit reductions. Slide 12 in the second quarter earnings summary provides the following data for the quarters shown. 1, approvals, including number of filings approved, associated in force premium, and the weighted average percentage approved. 2, the number of filings submitted and associated in force premium; and 3, the estimated impact of the approved premium increases and reserve changes from benefit reductions on operating earnings.

We continue to believe our best course of action is to work with regulators, distribution partners and policy holders to fix the long-term care business and we continue to make progress on this front. We have significantly stepped up regulatory discussions, including with some of the most influential state regulators in the National Association of Insurance Commissioners. I believe Genworth is leading the way on pursuing important regulatory reform, and we continue to urge regulators to consider moving to an annual rerating model for long-term care insurance, similar to the health insurance model.

Our long-term care premium rate action track record is very strong, as shown on slide 12 of the second quarter earnings summary. 10 additional filings in eight states were approved during the second quarter, bringing the total approvals in 2015 to 21 in 17 states. While it will take a number of years to move the older blocks closer to breakeven and newer blocks closer to original pricing, we continue to make good progress and are on track in 2015 with our expectations set as part of our 2014 ALR margin review.

Let me turn to our assessment of the merits of selling some, or all, of our life and annuity blocks of business. We have completed an intense evaluation of the many options we could consider regarding these businesses, as well as the sales process with potential buyers. While there is strong interest in these businesses, we have determined that a large-scale sale of life and/or annuity, including the legal entity sale, is not in the best interest of our shareholders. In our evaluation, we considered a number of factors, including earnings per share, taxes, valuation and proceeds, in addition to ratings and commercial impacts. While there were a number of compelling positives, in our view, they were outweighed by potential adverse effects on ratings, which could negatively impact our collective businesses, given the interdependencies that exist both within certain agency methodologies and our own organization, among other things. That said, given the strong interest by potential buyers identified in this process, we may pursue a couple targeted smaller block transactions.

Given our Life and Annuity businesses are not where we'd like them to be with regards to sales, capital use and returns, our focus will be to streamline our life and annuity businesses and increase its value by writing accretive new business that addresses the financial challenges of aging. This will require first, more targeted product features and benefits; second, deeper relationships with those producers who are focused on these same

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

challenges; and third, right-sizing and realigning the supporting cost structure. Given recent insights gained from our market assessment, we believe this is the best path forward.

As we've previously discussed, our U.S. and Canadian mortgage insurance businesses offer the most attractive earnings growth and return profiles, and we are committed to position these businesses for growth by increasing the amount of profitable new insurance they write. With regard to our mortgage insurance business in Australia, we plan to continue to evaluate our ownership interest as we work to execute against our three strategic objectives. While we made solid progress to-date, we continue to seek ways to reduce our debt levels and improve the overall strength and financial flexibility of the company. I assure you that we will continue to execute with urgency against our goals to improve long-term shareholder value.

Now, let me turn it over to Marty to cover the quarterly results in more depth.

Martin P. Klein

Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

Thanks, Tom. Good morning, everyone. Today, I will discuss our second quarter results and key performance metrics and provide an update on progress made on our 2015 goals. We reported net operating income of $119 million and a net loss of $193 million for the quarter, that included a $306 million loss on a planned sale of the lifestyle protection insurance business, or LPI. Overall, we saw good results in our Global Mortgage Insurance division that were more than offset by unfavorable mortality sequentially in the U.S. Life Insurance division.

Beginning in the second quarter, LPI is being reported as discontinued operations, and we expect to close the transaction by year end. The LPI team has done a great job in navigating a tough economic and business environment in Europe over the last several years, and we are pleased to be at this point in the sales process. Given where we are with PMIERs, we are likely to use the majority of those proceeds to reduce debt.

Looking at key performance metrics, sequential operating revenue results reflect three main drivers. First, earned premiums continued to be favorably impacted by the long-term care rate actions; second, investment income is up slightly from $13 million of higher gains related to limited partnership performance; and third, foreign exchange had an unfavorable impact of $8 million. Investment results were solid, with no impairments for the quarter. We remain disciplined in addressing risk in our portfolio. While recent events in Greece and Puerto Rico made headlines, it is important to note that we have no holdings in Greece and minimal exposure in Puerto Rico.

Our underwriting results were mixed. Sequentially, the loss ratio was lower in Canada, flat in USMI, and up in Australia. The loss ratio in long-term care was up slightly from the prior quarter, as the seasonally strong first quarter claim terminations did not recur. Life Insurance results were impacted by unfavorable mortality.

Operating expenses were up 10% sequentially, mostly in Global Mortgage Insurance from higher share-based expenses in Canada. As we communicated last quarter, as part of our strategy to increase financial flexibility and strength, our goal is to reduce cash expenses by $100 million by the end of 2016 in the U.S. Life Insurance and headquarters. We have made meaningful progress implementing expense initiatives that will remove approximate $30 million in cash expenses for the full year of 2015, and approximately $40 million to $50 million for 2016.

Turning to capital, we had generally good results in the quarter. A few points related to our capital positions: First, we maintained solid capital positions in our operating companies. Second, as Tom mentioned, USMI capital, as measured under PMIERs, is expected to benefit from both reinsurance and a reduction in affiliate asset holdings totaling approximately $500 million and helping to achieve a major goal of compliance with PMIERs. Third, we

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

completed a A$200 million subordinated note offering in July and we anticipate receiving a special dividend of approximately $50 million in the third quarter.

Fourth, for Canada, during the quarter, the business completed a normal-course issuer bid in which Genworth Financial participated to keep our overall ownership percentage at 57.3%. Additionally, this benefited holding company cash by $15 million. Fifth and finally, turning to U.S. Life Insurance statutory performance, unassigned surplus decreased approximately $38 million sequentially to $100 million from unfavorable long-term care and life insurance mortality. Risk-based capital levels in our Bermuda subsidiary, BLAIC, were approximately 335%, slightly down from the end of the first quarter. While repatriating the long-term care business in BLAIC remains a strategic priority, it is not now expected to be completed until after 2015.

Moving to the holding company, we continued to maintain significant liquidity. Our available cash and liquid assets were over $1.1 billion, up from the prior quarter primarily from $173 million in proceeds from the Australia sell down in May and dividends from operating subsidiaries, partially offset by debt service and holding company expenses. This represents a buffer of approximately $670 million in excess of 1.5 times debt service and restricted cash, and well above our $350 million risk buffer. The dividends received from our international mortgage insurance business are $139 million halfway through the year, close to achieving the full-year goal of $150 million to $230 million. We should continue to receive ordinary dividends from Australia and Canada this year and, as previously mentioned, we also expect to receive a second special dividend from Australia.

Moving to business results, Global Mortgage Insurance had another good quarter, reporting net operating income of $110 million, although down $6 million versus the prior quarter and down $26 million year-over-year, primarily from unfavorable foreign exchange, lower tax benefits and the impact of larger minority interest.

Regarding Canada, operating earnings were $37 million for the quarter, down $3 million from the prior quarter. We saw a modest sequential increase in home prices, while the national unemployment rate remained flat, at 6.8%. Flow of new insurance written was higher sequentially, primarily from seasonality. In June, we initiated a 15% price increase for mortgages with less than a 10% down payment, which should have a favorable impact in earned premiums over time. Canada's loss ratio decreased 5 points from the prior quarter, to 17%, reflecting a modest decrease in new delinquencies, net of cures, but was up 5 points versus the prior year from a modest increase in new delinquencies and higher average reserve per delinquency from regional mix.

Given Alberta's dependence on the energy industry, housing prices and unemployment in Alberta are starting to feel pressure from lower energy prices, although we've not seen an increase in delinquencies to-date. We have taken actions to further strengthen the credit quality of new borrowers within that region. We continue to closely monitor performance within the province of Alberta, and while year-to-date performance has been strong, we could see pressure on the loss ratios in the second half of the year. We still anticipate ending the year within our previously communicated 20% to 30% range.

Shifting to Australia, operating earnings were $29 million, down $1 million versus the prior quarter. As in Canada, macroeconomic conditions were generally stable in the quarter, as the national unemployment rate was 6.0% at quarter end and overall home prices experienced modest gains sequentially. Like all markets we operate in, we evaluate the factors relating to the health of the market. Currently in this market, fundamentals remain solid overall, driven by supply constraints, population growth and household formation. But we continue to closely monitor areas with economic reliance on mining.

New delinquencies and cures were higher sequentially, as is typical in the second quarter of the year. The new delinquencies came primarily from Queensland and Western Australia, given the impact from slowing mining activity and a reduction in capital expenditures, and most of the pressure is coming from the 2008 and prior

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

books of business, not the more recent vintages where we have taken actions to strengthen the credit profile of the business. While we may see pressure in the second half of the year, we still expect our full-year loss ratio to be in the previously provided range of 25% to 30%.

Australia flow new insurance written was up 16% sequentially, reflecting typical seasonal improvement from the first quarter. In USMI, net operating income was $49 million for the quarter, down $3 million from the prior quarter, as net investment income was lower and the loss ratio was flat to the prior quarter, at 33%. The dividends of $15 million per year, which USMI had been receiving from its affiliate asset holding will move to the holding company going forward, since USMI exchanged it for cash in July of this year. Earnings will also be modestly impacted in the second half of this year from the reinsurance arrangements the business has executed.

Flow NIW increased from the prior quarter from a seasonally larger mortgage insurance market, even as the business intentionally decreased its single premium lender paid new insurance written, reflecting its selective participation in this market. At quarter end, 61% of the risk in force is composed of 2009 and forward books of business, at the low end of our anticipated range of between 60% and 70% by the end of 2015. We continued to make good progress in our capital goals and strategic priorities for our Global Mortgage Insurance division, and anticipate meeting or exceeding these goals in 2015.

Turning to the U.S. Life Insurance division, net operating income was $57 million, down from both the prior quarter and prior year. The long-term care insurance net operating income in the quarter was $10 million. Results were impacted by unfavorable mortality on existing claims, which drove lower claim terminations; higher severity on new claims, where we had a higher mix of claims with higher daily benefit amounts; $5 million unfavorable impact, as we build the profits followed by losses reserve; and $12 million of net favorable reserve items mostly identified during our material weakness remediation efforts.

Although results in the quarter were impacted by higher claim costs, our claim reserve experience in the first half of the year in the aggregate was in line with the revised assumptions we developed last year. We will continue to monitor our experience, assumptions and resulting reserves closely. With regard to long-term care, as we said last quarter, near-term long-term care earnings are expected to remain at modest levels, with quarterly variability. The modest profitability is driven by two things. First, at this stage in our rate action implementations, the 2012 rate action benefits are starting to level off as we move closer to full implementation, and our next series of rate action filings are just beginning to ramp up.

Second, we expect a gradual shift in the mix of policy holders on claim. As our in-force business ages, we expect to see more claims coming from products with higher daily benefits and inflation options. Given these dynamics, we anticipate long-term care earnings to remain at modest levels until the new rate actions, most of which have already been approved, are further along in their implementation next year, with the potential for some volatility where results could be better or worse than this quarter in the near term.

Moving to Life Insurance, operating earnings were $22 million for the quarter, down from $40 million in the prior quarter, primarily impacted by mortality experience in term life insurance from higher severity. For fixed annuities, earnings were $25 million, down from the prior quarter from unfavorable mortality in immediate annuities. Shifting to the Corporate and Other division, the net operating loss for the quarter was $48 million. We took important steps in the last few months, with receipt of the irrevocable LPI offer, the further sell down of our holdings in Australia, and the progress USMI made on PMIERs compliance.

As we move forward to advance our strategic objectives, I would note that our situation is complicated in part by the structures that had been established over the years within Genworth, including, for example, stacked entities and internal reinsurance or capital relationships. While these structures and interrelationships had benefit for a

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

company which was in several businesses, such as tax efficiencies or improved capital ratios, they also served to increase the interdependencies of those businesses and can hamper our ability to take certain strategic actions.

We will continue to assess potential steps to help the businesses operate on a standalone basis and reduce their interrelationships, which should increase our financial and strategic flexibility. As we navigate our path forward and work through those potential steps, it is important for us to also increase our flexibility and value by driving improvements in our businesses, including, in the U.S. Life division, fix the long-term care business and increase the value of the life and annuity businesses through accretive targeted products and a focused and efficient cost structure; in the Global Mortgage Insurance division, drive earnings growth and capital improvements in USMI, which should benefit its dividend capacity and ratings over time; and continue to generate capital in our Canada and Australia platforms.

We believe growing USMI earnings and fixing long-term care are the two biggest drivers for value creation in our businesses and for our shareholders over time. In USMI, earnings are expected to grow significantly over the next few years, as we position this business to continue writing attractive new business, while the older vintages burn off, and to achieve its significant operating dividend potential once that capacity is restored. In LTC, we need to address the negative impacts of our legacy business, as we pursue our rate action goals and associated reduced benefits on the in-force over time, while writing profitable new business with more limited risk. While we have made good progress in several important areas this quarter, we have much work ahead, as we focus on these drivers and pursue our goals.

With that, let's open it up for questions.

QUESTION AND ANSWER SECTION

Operator: Ladies and gentlemen, at this time, we will begin the Q&A portion of the call. As a reminder, please refrain from using cell phones, speaker phones or headsets. [Operator Instructions] We'll take our first question from Nigel Dally with Morgan Stanley.

Nigel P. Dally
Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
Q

Great. Thanks. Good morning. So your prior goal was to reduce debt by $1 billion to $2 billion. At least by my calculation, the only way to achieve that was from executing a sale of GLAIC. So just to start, can you clarify whether that's still a reasonable goal.

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director
A

So Nigel, we said that our goal would be to reduce debt by $1 billion to $2 billion over time. That's still our goal. With what we've done, the two transactions we did that we announced were at $600 million. So we clearly are making progress towards the lower end of the range. But that's still our goal and we continue to look at options. We decided, for a variety of reasons, not to pursue the large life and annuity transaction, but we are looking at potentially selling or reinsuring a couple of the blocks.

Nigel P. Dally
Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
Q

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Okay. Thanks. And second question, just on BLAIC, the consolidation being pushed back. Can you provide some clarification as to what's driving that?

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

A

Hey, Nigel. It's Marty. It's still a goal. But obviously, as we were assessing a potential transaction, a larger transaction in U.S. Life, it was going to come along with or perhaps following that. And as we work through that now, we do have the repatriation ahead of us. But we do think, as Tom had mentioned, we want to look at a couple of these Life blocks, and if we do pursue them, we'd maybe try to do them over the next several months or into next year. We'd want to probably do those first, if we do in fact decide to do them, and then do the repatriation. The other thing I'd point out is that the repatriation process does take a while. And part of it is in the process. There's a filing process where you have to file your pro forma financials, which has been difficult because we've been working through what that pro forma is going to look like. And now that we've got that somewhat determined, although we have to think about these life blocks, we'll play that through. But still a goal, but I think it's going to come into next year, at the soonest.

Nigel P. Dally
Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC

Q

Great. Thanks.

Operator: We'll take our next question from Jimmy Bhullar with JPMorgan.

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JPMorgan Securities LLC

Q

Hi. Good morning. I had a couple of questions. The first is just if you could talk about the financial impact of the reinsurance deals that you've done in the USMI business. You're getting a decent amount of capital relief, but how much of a hit do you expect on earnings? Is it in the 5% to 10% range, or higher than that? And then I have another one.

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

So Jimmy, Kevin Schneider is traveling today. So we're delighted to have two members of his team, Rohit Gupta, who as you know, is the CEO of USMI, and Jerome Upton, who is the CFO of the Global Mortgage Insurance business. So I'd ask Rohit to handle that question.

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JPMorgan Securities LLC

Q

Sure.

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.

A

Good morning, Jimmy. This is Rohit. So the cost of reinsurance transaction, both for 2009 to 2013 book, as well as 2014 transaction, as Tom stated, is in the mid-single digits. Just to ground you, these are amortizing transactions. So over a period of time, the cost of the transaction will drop. But just to give you a ballpark, for calendar year 2016, we would expect the ceded premiums to be in the range of $10 million to $15 million. So you can use that as a calculation point.

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JPMorgan Securities LLC

Q

Okay. And then on your sales outlook for the U.S. Life division. I understand in LTC, you're making a lot of product changes. But your sales were weak across the board. So just wondering if you can talk about your outlook and how much of the weakness is being caused by ratings issues versus just your intention to preserve capital?

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

So I think, Jimmy, that first of all I would say that in long-term care, we did relaunch, refresh in a product this year and just launched it. So I think that will help sales in LTC. In annuity, fixed annuities, clearly the low interest rate environment has made getting the appropriate spreads for us a challenge. But in addition to that, I think as we're going through the strategic review of life and annuity, that clearly had a significant impact on sales. And so with that now behind us, we would hope to improve somewhat. And obviously the ratings decline, while an A- at A.M. Best is acceptable in the BGA channel, where our principal sales are, the S&P and Moody's ratings has had an impact on our sales through financial institutions.

So as both Marty and I commented, we expect, given the low interest rate environments and our ratings, for sales to be modest for some time. We are looking at a number of product changes and focusing on specific BGAs and distribution outlets to improve sales. We also brought in a new leader for – a commercial leader, Chief Commercial Officer for the business. So we hope all those things will help. But I do think sales will be challenged for a period of time, although working on a number of product changes and distribution strategies to improve it.

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JPMorgan Securities LLC

Q

And then lastly, if I could ask one more, on the Canadian and Australian MI businesses, if you could just talk about what your views are on those markets. There's been a lot of concern about low commodity prices, frothy housing markets in those regions. So how do you view your business and the margins in Canada and Australia? I think Australia, you mentioned you expect a decline in margins. But what's your view of the market overall?

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

Yeah. So, Jimmy, I would just say that we're pleased with the results across the U.S., Canada and Australia. I think our loss ratios continue to be in our range, probably at the low end of the range that we forecasted. So we're comfortable with all three of the businesses. Clearly, as you stated, there are macroeconomic challenges that impact the markets, particularly in Canada and Australia. And I'll ask Jerome just to make a few comments on that.

Jerome T. Upton
CFO & Operations Officer-Global Mortgage Insurance

A

Jimmy, good morning. It's Jerome Upton. I think one of the things – I would start with Canada and just give you a broad perspective on economic conditions. We all have seen that GDP has contracted in Canada early in the year. But when you dive in deeper on that, it's primarily driven by oil price decline and sort of the contraction there. We saw the Bank of Canada lower rates around 50 basis points, or down to 50 basis points.

But I think in the second half, most economists, including the Bank of Canada, would expect GDP to grow. And they're expecting 1.1% growth, when you look at it year-over-year. Employment outside of the oil-producing areas are holding up very well. And our business performance, as Tom indicated, has been strong. You also have seen

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

the loonie, or the Canadian dollar, lower, which is going to help exports in that economy. And then from a housing market perspective, overall, we feel good. The fundamentals are strong. There's good household formation and population growth. The significant increases that you see in home price appreciation in Toronto and Vancouver – we've really gone in at a detailed level to understand those drivers.

And it's not our served market. Our served market is a first-time home buyer. And those first-time home buyer prices are much lower than what you're hearing about in the market. The credit quality in the business remains very good. We've taken actions, if you just think about Alberta, Alberta is a pressure point, okay? Alberta is a buyer's market. And while we haven't seen performance deteriorate at all in Alberta, we do expect that we're going to see some pressure in the second half. Home prices have gone down some. There could be further degradation there in home prices, 5% to 10%. But overall, the credit quality that we have on the recent vintages, because we took action in that area early, the credit quality is good. And the older vintages have home price appreciation behind them. So that's sort of a macro perspective on Canada.

In Australia, GDP is continuing to grow. It's growing below trend. It's about 2%. The trend's normally been higher than that. In Australia, the RBA cut cash rates down to 2%. But you probably noticed yesterday, they held rates flat at 2%. They did not take another cut on rates. And employment is doing okay overall, down to 6%. It actually went down a tick to 6%. We're just simply seeing pressure in the mining-related areas, in Queensland, where they extract a lot of coal from the ground, and in Western Australia, where there's significant iron ore extraction. So we're seeing pressure there, because prices have come off over the past couple of years.

We took actions, starting in 2013, in both of those areas to cut loan balances down and LTVs. So that should help us. And it's the older vintages that are pressuring us and there's likely some embedded HPA or embedded equity that will help us there.

With respect to the Sydney market, you have seen Sydney grow, from a home price perspective, consequentially year-over-year. But when we dive into that, it's a concern when you see home prices go up that large. But when we dive in, you have to put this in a longer term context.The Sydney market has had periods of below home price trends in 2004 and 2008, and they actually had declines in home prices in 2011 through 2013. So if you look at this over a longer term trend, you see 5% growth over a 10-year period. We are watching carefully the investor lending concentration in that market. But I would tell you that one thing we feel good about is the regulatory action that's being taken, as well as the lender action that's being taken to strengthen the underwriting in that Sydney market on investor lending. So we applaud that action that's being taken and we think it's a good thing.

So those are the assessment of the markets. I think overall, we still feel good. But we acknowledge we're going to feel some pressure in energy-related and mining-related areas.

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JPMorgan Securities LLC

Thank you.

Operator: We'll take our next question from Geoffrey Dunn with Dowling & Partners.

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC

Thank you. Good morning. I wanted to follow up on the previous question about the XOL transactions, see if you can provide a little bit more color with respect to, is it all of the 2009 through 2013 and 2014 books that's being

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

covered? Any detail on the attachment points of the XOL? And as far as the incremental 2015 XOL, can you give us an idea of what you think the incremental capital benefit will be from that?

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.

A

Yeah. Geoff, this is Rohit. Good morning. Let me give you some color on the XOL transactions. As Tom and Marty stated, the total capital credit from these transactions at the end of the year is expected to be around $300 million. We would basically say that the XOL tiers are within the credit tiers that PMIERs have subscribed, because that was the intent of these transactions, to get capital credit under the PMIERs framework. At this point, we are not providing any more detail on attachment and detachment points.

But on cost of capital, I did provide some color on cost of capital being in mid-single digits, and 2016 impact being $10 million to $15 million for the first two transactions. For the 2015 transaction, as we move forward in testing the market capacity, as well as cost for different attachment points, we will consider coming back and providing more detail in the third quarter earnings call comprehensively on all three transactions.

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC

Q

Okay.

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.

A

Just one thing to think about as we talk about financial flexibility against PMIERs. We have reached a big milestone with PMIERs. We believe we will be compliant, giving effect of these three transactions, the two reinsurance transactions, plus the GLIC asset exchange, as of second quarter. But we still believe that we actually need financial flexibility, both for the required asset side, which could actually have variations from forecast just based on items like interest rate, higher NIW, or different policy lapse than our expectation, as well as in our asset base, as Marty stated, we have Canada ownership, 16% of Canada ownership. So based on the volatility on the stock price, as well as foreign exchange, we believe it's prudent for us to actually have a financial buffer, financial flexibility against our PMIERs compliance.

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC

Q

Okay. With respect to the ceded $10 million to $15 million, is there ceding commission and/or profit commission consideration beyond that, or are you giving us a net impact?

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.

A

This is – Geoff, this is net and gross. It's an excess of loss transaction. There's no profit commission and no ceding commission. So this is actual amount of ceded premium. I would reiterate that these are amortizing transactions. So as you transition from 2015 second half to 2016 to 2017, you will see absolute dollars of premium ceded go down. But there's no concept of profit commission or ceding commission back. The cost of capital is based on the ceded premium and the capital credit we provided.

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC

Q

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Okay. In terms of thinking about a cushion against PMIERs, how are you approaching that? I know most of the industry said last quarter, you're still evaluating it. Now, as you put plans into place to take additional capital actions, how are you thinking about it? Is it a 5% or 10% buffer? Is there a dollar buffer? How do we try to anticipate what additional capital needs you might have the back half?

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.
A

Yeah. So Geoff, just two things. First thing, we've always had a consideration for a buffer, right from the range, first range we provided in July of 2014, when draft PMIERs came out, and we provided the range to the marketplace. And including our final range, when final PMIERs came out on April 20, we actually included a financial flexibility buffer or cushion in that range also. And the two items, without going into any specific dollar numbers, the two items we consider when calculating our buffer is on our required asset side, just the volatility we could end up facing against our forecast, based on items like interest rate, new insurance written, losses, as well as lapse. And then secondly, on the available asset side, given our MIC ownership, just volatility in foreign exchange, volatility in the actual stock price. We have looked at those trends historically and actually created a appetite, a risk appetite and a financial flexibility appetite framework that we use. The buffer is dynamic in nature, and at this point of time, that's what we're building our capital towards.

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
A

Geoff, it's Marty. I would just also note that as the team looks at the potential for 2015 transaction, at this point we think it's quite possible that we'd be able to not only remain in compliance with PMIERs, given the other progress we've made, but also hit that buffer, largely through that type of transaction. Obviously, we have to get such a transaction done. But we do think that the size of that book of business and the appetite we've seen so far is probably going to give us a decent shot to build in that financial buffer that Rohit talked about with that transaction.

If that's the case – and again, that's an if, we have to work through what that deal would look like – but if that is the case, then I think that as far as the LPI transaction, we think that, as I said earlier, the majority of the proceeds, if not almost all those proceeds, would go towards debt pay down. But obviously, we have to play through on the 2015 potential transaction.

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC
Q

Okay. And then last question, if I may. Once you repatriate the LTC risk next year, is there any strategic reason to upstream the Canadian ownership from BLAIC to the holding company?

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
A

I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? Upstream what to the holding company again?

Geoffrey Murray Dunn
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC
Q

Canadian ownership from the Bermuda HoldCo to the Genworth Holdings?

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President
A

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

We have to assess a number of those things. We have Brookfield, which as the ownership over Australia and Canada – as you know, USMI also has some ownership of Canada, as well – and then also Brookfield has BLAIC, which is the subsidiary that has the reinsurance relationship. So we'll assess what we want to do as we're doing the repatriation, which obviously involves BLAIC, we'll assess what we want to do with Brookfield. There have been some tax advantages in the past for their overall structure. But as things move around, as we continue to assess Australia and do some other things, we'll have to assess what we want to do with Brookfield and what we want to with some of those ownerships. So it's a little premature to say what we would do in that regard.

Geoffrey Murray Dunn Q
Dowling & Partners Securities LLC

Okay. Thank you.

Operator: And we'll take our next question from Ryan Krueger with KBW.

Ryan J. Krueger Q
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.

Thanks. Good morning. I was hoping to go back to the decision not to sell the entire life and annuity business. Can you talk – I guess a little bit more about that decision and specifically, how meaningful of a role did the expected proceeds that would actually make it to the holding company play in the decision not to go forward?

Thomas Joseph McInerney A
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

So Ryan, we looked at a number of different criteria. And obviously, we had our outside financial advisors also working very closely with us. And there were a number of factors. I would say probably the most important ones were earnings per share and accretion dilution, taxes and tax impact on the actual valuations that we received from the bidders and also the proceeds. In regard to the proceeds, we did talk with our key regulators, which are the regulators for our three legal entities. I think all three were open to allowing us to take some proceeds out of the companies, and particularly the GLIC, because while obviously they want us to maintain appropriate RBC levels, and I think they're comfortable with where we are today, they also clearly get the importance of reducing debt at the holding company by the $1 billion to $2 billion. So I would say they were fairly open-minded on that.

We ultimately decided, while there were a lot of positives for doing the deal, including our ability to reduce debt, in the end, our view was they were outweighed by potential adverse effects in the ratings and the loss of earnings diversification. So in the end, those were the two drivers that, sort of, outweighed the positives and led us to decide not to do the larger sale, the sale of GLAIC, but to focus on a couple of the blocks that we think make sense to do something with.

Ryan J. Krueger Q
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.

And in terms of the block sales now, or block reinsurance that you're looking at now, do you think the proceeds freed up from those would be free then to the holding company and help meet your debt reduction targets? Would they most likely be retained and used to bolster RBC?

Thomas Joseph McInerney A
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

I think one, we have to negotiate those deals with the counter party or the reinsurer. There is a capital benefit, and I would expect that when we talk to the regulators, they would be open-minded in terms of allowing us to take that

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

out. But we'll have to one, get the deals done and then talk to the regulators. But as I said, I think while they understand our need to reduce parent company debt, I think they're pretty open-minded on that. They know that's a significant objective and will, I think, work with us to get there. Obviously, they also want to make sure that there's enough capital in the operating entities to allow us to pay the policyholder obligations going forward.

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

A

But Ryan, I would say that while I think these couple life blocks are interesting economically and would have some capital benefits, I don't think they're all that overall significant as far as the amount of proceeds we'd get out and pay down debt. I think they're definitely helpful for the business and the capital within the business, but I don't think overall they're going to have much of an impact on our ability to get money out and pay down debt from those transactions, just to manage those expectations. We think there's other benefits, from capital standpoint and economic standpoint. That's why we're taking a look at them.

Ryan J. Krueger
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.

Q

Okay. And then lastly, just back to the debt reduction target. I think you mentioned that you've done $600 million of – you're going to get $600 million of proceeds from what you've done so far towards your $1 billion $2 billion debt reduction target. But I think at least a couple hundred million of that was used for the PMIERs requirement. I'm just trying to think, what can you do to get from where you are now to at least the $1 billion target? It sounds like some additional business sales are likely necessary. I know you've mentioned you're still evaluating Australia. Is that something we should still – is that really the most likely additional use would be a further sale of Australia?

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

So there are a number of potential sources of proceeds to help us focus on the debt reduction. Clearly, both Australia and Canada are meaningful payers of dividends and special dividends. And so they will clearly be an important source, as they have been. In addition as USMI makes its progress, it is significantly improving its earnings and it's working on their negative unassigned surplus, but that is because we're making progress there and we would expect USMI to also, at some point, 2017, maybe later, to be able to pay a dividend. And then we'll also look at asset sales. And so we've always said the goal is reducing debt by $1 billion to $2 billion. And the reason for that range is it gives us more optionality in terms of the options we can consider for the company, including potentially splitting the company. And so that's an important point. But we always believed that we would be doing this over time, and so we'll continue to look at the opportunities that we have both in the Life division, as well as in the MI side.

Ryan J. Krueger
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.

Q

Okay. Great. Thank you.

Operator: We'll take our next question from Colin Devine with Jefferies.

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC

Q

Sure. A couple questions. First, Marty, I think it would be very helpful if you can just go a little bit deeper on to what some of the issues are with the interconnected capital structure in Genworth. Because what I think I heard today is a big reason why you can't do a larger life sale is because of that. And that's the issue there, because of the

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

interconnection. So we can hit that one number first? Second, to give you a break and catch your breath, can we flip over to Genworth Canada? I'm talking about Alberta. If arrears got back to where they were, say during the financial crisis, at that level, just to size maybe how bad things could go, how much of an issue would that be for Genworth Canada? And then lastly, if you can provide a little more color on what are the remaining issues with respect to selling Australia. Thanks.

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

A

Hello, Colin. I'll start out and then I'll kick it to Jerome for your question on Canada. And then Tom can take the last question. On the interconnectivity, that is something we've obviously known about for a while and want to continue to work through. I think over many, many years, Genworth, like a lot of companies that are in a lot of different businesses, set up these interrelationships that certainly had benefits, tax benefits. We have tax sharing arrangements, which can be very helpful. Certainly, capital relationships and reinsurance relationships. I think specifically to us, ratings – and this is also true for other companies that are in multiple businesses – obviously our overall ratings are impacted by the mix of business that we have, as well as obviously, the level of debt that we have.

And as different rating entities look at these differently, to be clear, certain things matter to them a lot. Earnings diversification, how many different businesses you're in, and what's their view of the volatility or variability of those businesses. Also, some agencies have a concept of core businesses and then they notch other ratings off of what they view as the core business. So those are all things that we have to be mindful of. I think the biggest driver of why we did not pursue a transaction in life and annuity is we felt like the ratings repercussions would not only potentially hurt the U.S. Life division, given the loss of potential earnings diversification, but we also felt like there'd be a ripple effect that would also impact the mortgage insurance businesses, which I think have been performing very well.

And the last thing we'd want to do is do a transaction that would have an impact on ratings that might impact their ability to go forth and do business. We think, as Tom mentioned, those are very good businesses, particularly USMI and Canada. So that's one thing we have to work through and see how we can best address that. There are a number of very specific things to us. Obviously, the relationship between BLAIC and GLIC without long-term care reinsurance is certainly one pretty major connecting point. And actually, speaking of GLIC, the fact that we have stacked entities, GLIC is the parent and then it owns GLAIC, the Virginia company, which has a lot of life and annuity business. And they both, in turn, have an ownership of GLICNY, so you have that kind of stacked structure, while it provides some overall capital benefits, it does impede dividend flows, for example, from GLAIC. So that's a consideration that we have to work through, see what we want to do about that.

Obviously, we've had the GLIC preferred stock that was in USMI that did not get any credit at all under PMIERs. Similarly, the other part of USMI's capital structure is Canada, which while we think it's a very good business, it does, under the way PMIERs is constructed, have a lot of volatility. So that creates the need for a bit of a buffer more than maybe the business would ordinarily have if they had a consistently stable part of its capital structure in there. So we have to kind of work through that. So there's a lot of those types of things. Some of them would make some sense to look at very closely. Others, I think would not be economic. So we have to sort through what we can do to change those interconnected things and improve our financial flexibility over time.

In the meantime, it's very important that we make our businesses perform better. In Life and Annuity in particular, now that we're going to be in that business, we need to work on how to grow it efficiently and effectively and profitably. And obviously, fix long-term care business, which is going to be a major, not only earnings driver, but I think overall shareholder value type of proposition, given the way the market's having long-term care right now.

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC Q

Marty, just following up on that, you mentioned Genworth Canada's ownership structure. Two quick ones. One, why not get it up to the holding company, since you freed up some other capital? And two, why not sell it down to 51%, 52%, like you did for Australia?

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President A

Yeah. I think that, Colin, looking at those things, they make a lot of sense, but they also involve cash and things like that. So we have to look at the cash and capital needs that we have and what's the best way to use it. And certainly, there are some benefits to getting Canada out of USMI, absolutely, but we have to also think about what we want to do with our cash and our capital. I think also, Canada is – while USMI has been working to comply with PMIERs – the dividends that Canada has been paying not only go to the holding company, but also go to USMI. So USMI, which to this point has been in need of capital, has benefited by its relationship historically with owning Canada, because of the dividend flows which USMI has been able to capture. But obviously, with the compliance with PMIERs, and that also included, frankly, a contribution from the holding company – or not really a contribution, an exchange of the GLIC preferred for cash – we're in a different situation now, so we'll have to assess a lot of those things.

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC Q

And maybe just, why don't you clarify for everybody, what is the cost to capital for USMI by owning the Canadian stock? If you swapped it for cash, what would be the benefit?

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp. A

Colin, do you mean under PMIERs context?

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC Q

Yeah.

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp. A

Yeah. So under PMIERs, the prescribed discount for publicly traded securities is 25%. So whatever the market value is multiplied by the foreign exchange rate gives you the market value of Canada that's in USMI. And at quarter end points, we adjust that down by 25% to calculate our PMIERs available assets, and then whatever volatility that's baked in, that's basically part of our financial flexibility buffer we talked about.

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President A

So it's worked very differently than it works under regulatory capital, where it's really on a book value basis. So it's been much more stable. So when you look at risk to capital, it's really on a book value basis, and also without a haircut. So it's been actually a pretty efficient part of – and good part of the overall capital structure and also provided some dividends to the business that were helpful to its capital.

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

But PMIERs is now the driving capital requirement that the business has, given that our risk to capital is like 13.5 in GMICO these days. And so that's what we have to drive to and manage to now. If there's anything else, why don't we kick it to Jerome for your question on Canada?

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC

Q

I think you just reinforced why the ownership structure is still the way it is. But let's go to Alberta.

Jerome T. Upton
CFO & Operations Officer-Global Mortgage Insurance

A

Colin, good morning. It's Jerome. I would say that we did feel pressure coming out of the GFC and from Alberta, as you point out. But I would say that we feel better positioned at this point in time in that market, acknowledging that we are going to feel pressure. We have taken some underwriting actions in that area, number one, to strengthen the recent vintages. And those actions would've improved our credit scores in the region, as well as to just an overall much more conservative approach to collateral valuation and underwriting in general. So we do feel better positioned. And also would note that coming out of the GFC, home prices were at pretty elevated levels in Alberta. What we're underwriting now and underwrote post the GFC, the housing market was down some, so we feel better positioned at this point in time. I really can't give you a number, Colin, with respect to pressure on the business, and link it back to the global financial crisis. Because we're going to have to wait and see what's going to happen with oil prices and the extent and the duration and how long they stay down before we can give you any type of estimate on that.

We're telling you in 2015 that we're going to stay within our guidance of 20%, 30% in Canada. And as we move into 2016, we'll give you an update based on what we see in the market, the home price declines that are there, and the unemployment increases.

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC

Q

Okay. Thank you.

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

And Colin, just to take your last point quickly, so we can get on to some other questioners. We continue to evaluate our ownership position in Australia. As we've said before, there is a benefit to majority ownership, including that we retain a majority on the Board. And also, because Australia has been such an important payer of dividends over time to help us manage the annual debt service, Australian ownership and dividends there are important. If we did decide, at some point down the road, to sell Australia ownership, we'd likely use the large portion of those proceeds to reduce debt.

Colin Wayne Devine
Jefferies LLC

Q

Thank you.

Operator: And we'll take our next question comes from Suneet Kamath with UBS.

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Suneet L. Kamath
UBS Securities LLC

Q

Thanks. Good morning. I wanted to start with long-term care. I don't know if you talked about it on this call, but in the past, Marty, you'd talked about wanting to maintain a buffer for long-term care volatility. Have you done any more work in terms of sizing that?

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

A

Hey, Suneet. Actually, we've been doing a lot of work on what we want to do in life and annuity and some other things. I would say it's still something we want to look at. I think that part of that is going to be a function of what other businesses are in the U.S. Life division, and that was not all that certain for a while.

I think that the way that the risk-based capital rules under NAIC rules are such that we would want to manage over time long-term care to a higher level. That does not necessarily mean though that we're going to be in the life and annuity businesses. We'd also do that for the life and annuity.

So I think what we're working through now that we've got life and annuity businesses and earnings stream and diversification, it provides some capital and risk buffer. We have to assess that longer term goal. I do think it'd be along the lines of holding up a higher RBC number behind long-term care, probably more in line with what we think its economic risk and economic capital would be. But we'd have to weigh that with a balancer of what we're doing in life and annuities, now that we have those businesses.

Also want to be mindful of overall cash flows and surplus growth in business and our ability to get some dividends out, too. So while we want to increase and expect to increase the buffer behind long-term care over time, we also want to be mindful that we do want to be getting some cash out of U.S. Life at some point along the way to help with some of the debt issues.

So that's a long way of saying there's a number of considerations. Now that we've finalized our strategic review and not going to do a transaction in life and annuity, we can turn our attention to what exactly do we want to do in long-term care. I think historically, we've looked at U.S. Life, the division, and wanted to manage long-term care in excess of 400. I would imagine that minimum 400 is probably going to go up a bit, once we work through that. But we'll have more details later on this year, hopefully.

Suneet L. Kamath
UBS Securities LLC

Q

Okay. And then, I guess my second question is just in terms of the strategy, I think a lot of us heading into this call were maybe anticipating that you might announce something pretty big that would move you much closer along your way to delevering and then ultimately breaking up. But based on how you're characterizing your situation today, it seems to me that what we should be thinking about is perhaps a larger number of smaller items as opposed to any one big thing, in terms of just sending cash up to the holding company and reducing debt. So I guess my question, is that a fair characterization of how you're thinking about things on a go forward basis?

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

I think, Suneet, that that's fair. We continue to evaluate and we'll continue to do that look at and will continue to do that, various options. But I do think that the large life and annuity transaction, for the reasons we talked about,

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

I think we looked at that, looked at it hard, and decided in the end not to go forward with that. But we'll continue to work over time on reducing the debt in the range that we've talked about.

Suneet L. Kamath
UBS Securities LLC

Q

And is there a timeframe for that debt reduction? I can't remember if you gave us something in the past.

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

A

We said $1 billion to $2 billion over time. Again, it's a high priority to reduce the debt. We have other priorities. We've got to balance all of them, and so I think that we'll leave it at that.

Suneet L. Kamath
UBS Securities LLC

Q

Okay. And then last one, last one, just for Marty, on LTC earnings. If we think about the 2012 rate actions, I think it took a couple years to get the real earnings benefit from that. So now that you have most of that baked in the cake and you're going back for additional rounds of rate increases, why should LTC earnings improve dramatically as we get into 2016? I get they'll probably improve a little bit. But are we talking about a dramatic increase or more of a gradual grind higher?

Martin P. Klein
Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President

A

I'd say it's a decent increase, assuming the business continues to perform as it has been, and as we would expect. There is a lot of variability in long-term care earnings, as I pointed out. But I think that a lot of the 2012 rate actions, if you think about it, they come in two pieces. One is the additional premium we get, and that's fairly steady and that will continue on. But then the other part of it is those benefit reductions – which I know you know this, but just for everybody else that's listening – with those benefit reductions there's an immediate reserve release in the current period. So when we're implementing the rate actions, there's a lot of additional earnings benefits to get to that reserve release component. And as the round of rate actions begins to get through the implementation period, those earnings benefits from reduced benefits and that reserve release begin to ebb away.

We do have, though, a lot of rate actions that are in process, much of which that have been approved already, which is – most of which have been approved, actually – which will, I think, really begin to hit next year. So I think if the business performs like it does this year, and then also with these rate actions that we've got in the queue and much of which have been approved, we should be in decent shape.

One thing I'd remind folks is that as is in our earnings slides, and I think as Tom noted, I guess this is on slide 12, we did just this quarter get 10 filings approved that impact premium of about $336 million. And the average rate increase on that is 37%. As you pointed out that the 2012 rate actions, it takes two to three years to get that in to earnings. But we are making steady progress. And I think what we're trying to point out is for this year, the rate actions are not going to be all that impactful, because the ones that we've largely got have already played through. But the ones that are in the queue, including many of which have already been approved, will really be helpful in 2015. So I wouldn't call it a dramatic increase, though. I'd call it a nice increase, if I had to give it an adjective.

Suneet L. Kamath
UBS Securities LLC

Q

All right. That's fine. Thanks.

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Operator: Ladies and gentlemen, we have time for one final question from Sean Dargan with Macquarie.

Sean Dargan
Macquarie Capital (USA), Inc.

Q

Yeah. Thanks. I'm just wondering how you're thinking about returns on new MI business in 2016. On June 30, the PMIERs were amended and there was an added capital charge to be implemented in 2016 on lender placed mortgage insurance. You wrote a lot of that in the first quarter. I think the press release said that you wrote less of that in the second quarter. I'm just wondering if you could frame how much less of that and what you're thinking on writing that product is going forward, given that returns are probably mid-single digits?

Rohit Gupta
President & Chief Executive Officer, Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp.

A

Good morning, Sean. This is Rohit. So you're absolutely correct. We reduced our premium single concentration from first quarter to second quarter. As we have stated in the past, we try to manage the return and risk appetite for this product, because single – LPMI, let's start with that. Lender Paid Mortgage Insurance is primarily a single premium product.

And under HOPA consideration, it is a non-cancellation policy unless the mortgage actually lapses. So we have seen increased duration of this product in the past in our own experience. And we think FHFA and GSE's multipliers in PMIERs' context that rolled out on June 30 are in alignment with that.

As we think about our 2015 book returns, we have given guidance on mid- to low teen returns from a pricing perspective. And we have also stated that our books are performing, our new books since 2009, are performing better than those expectations. As far as 2016 book returns are concerned, we haven't given any guidance, at this point. And I think that will be in part driven by the mix of LPMI in the market at that place. As you're aware, the new factors do not go into effect until January 1, 2016. And LPMI is a refi-based product. As refinance volume has declined, we would expect LPMI volume to decline with that. So once we have a better idea on where the volume is trending, we will give some guidance in terms of what we expect LPMI mix to be, as well as what would that do to our 2016 return expectations. I would state that if you look historically, our business has been historically under participating in single premium and LPMI. And on portfolio basis, our concentrations are lower than the average concentration you see in the industry.

Sean Dargan
Macquarie Capital (USA), Inc.

Q

Okay. Great. And if I could just ask one question about Australia. You announced a special dividend that was larger than what Macquarie was expecting. I'm just wondering what your thoughts are on capital deployment there and if you've given any thought to raising the common dividend, which would probably have a favorable impact on the share price, which would allow you to sell your ownership at a higher price later down the road?

Jerome T. Upton
CFO & Operations Officer-Global Mortgage Insurance

A

Yeah, Sean. Good morning. It's Jerome Upton. So with respect to the special dividend you highlighted, I think you probably saw that we did issue a Tier 2 subordinated note of roughly A$200 million and did buy back $90 million of the prior tranche that was coming due in 2016. So that gave us the ability to issue the special dividend and probably helped us surpass expectations in the market. On the ordinary dividend, I would say the board's comfortable with where we are currently. As with any ordinary dividend, we want to make sure that we're

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

comfortable that we can continue to pay that ordinary dividend, and the board will evaluate whether any increases are prudent, given the overall environment and conditions that we have.

We understand in that business that we have capital optimization opportunities, but they would start with looking at ways to grow organically and make sure that we feel like we're adequately covered organically. We've also got to take into consideration the mark-to-market. Because part of our prescribed capital amount and the large capital ratio you're seeing is driven by the mark-to-market on securities. And then we would look to other opportunities and ways to deploy capital at a return that is above our weighted average cost of capital. And then, if we can't use that capital productively, I can assure you the board there will continue to look for redeploying funds to shareholders, consistent with our overall objectives.

Sean Dargan
Macquarie Capital (USA), Inc.

Q

Thank you.

Operator: Ladies and gentlemen, I will now turn the call back over to Mr. McInerney for closing comments.

Thomas Joseph McInerney
President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

Thank you very much, Shelly. I would like to make just some brief closing comments. I want to thank all of the investors and analysts and other participants on the call today. We believe we are making progress on our outlined strategy to strengthen Genworth, simplify our businesses and increase financial flexibility. While we recognize that substantial challenges remain, we continue to invest in our strongest businesses and to manage each of our businesses to maximize returns while balancing capital needs. We very much appreciate the support of our investors and assure you that we're working very hard to justify that support. And now, let's end the call.

Operator: Ladies and gentlemen, this concludes Genworth Financial's second quarter earnings conference call. Thank you for your participation. At this time, the call will end.

Copyright © 2001-2015 FactSet CallStreet, LLC

Disclaimer
The information herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable but is not guaranteed by us and does not purport to be a complete or error-free statement or summary of the available data. As such, we do not warrant, endorse or guarantee the completeness, accuracy, integrity, or timeliness of the information. You must evaluate, and bear all risks associated with, the use of any information provided hereunder, including any reliance on the accuracy, completeness, safety or usefulness of such information. This information is not intended to be used as the primary basis of investment decisions. It should not be construed as advice designed to meet the particular investment needs of any investor. This report is published solely for information purposes, and is not to be construed as financial or other advice or as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any state where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. Any information expressed herein on this date is subject to change without notice. Any opinions or assertions contained in this information do not represent the opinions or beliefs of FactSet CallStreet, LLC. FactSet CallStreet, LLC, or one or more of its employees, including the writer of this report, may have a position in any of the securities discussed herein.

THE INFORMATION PROVIDED TO YOU HEREUNDER IS PROVIDED "AS IS," AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, FactSet CallStreet, LLC AND ITS LICENSORS, BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND SUPPLIERS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE SAME, EXPRESS, IMPLIED AND STATUTORY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, NEITHER FACTSET CALLSTREET, LLC NOR ITS OFFICERS, MEMBERS, DIRECTORS, PARTNERS, AFFILIATES, BUSINESS ASSOCIATES, LICENSORS OR SUPPLIERS WILL BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION DAMAGES FOR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES, GOODWILL, WORK STOPPAGE, SECURITY BREACHES, VIRUSES, COMPUTER FAILURE OR MALFUNCTION, USE, DATA OR OTHER INTANGIBLE LOSSES OR COMMERCIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF ANY OF SUCH PARTIES IS ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSSES, ARISING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN OR ANY OTHER SUBJECT MATTER HEREOF.

The contents and appearance of this report are Copyrighted FactSet CallStreet, LLC 2015 CallStreet and FactSet CallStreet, LLC are trademarks and service marks of FactSet CallStreet, LLC. All other trademarks mentioned are trademarks of their respective companies. All rights reserved.